

The Impact of Merger & Acquisition Firms on Stock Market Bubble

Vol. IV, No. I (Winter 2019) | Page: 335 – 342 | DOI: 10.31703/grr.2019(IV-I).36

p- ISSN: 2616-955X | **e-ISSN:** 2663-7030 | **ISSN-L:** 2616-955X

Waleed Khalid*	Kashif -Ur- Rehman [†]	Muhammad Kashif‡
r		

Abstract In this research, we have endeavored to ascertain how the Merger & Acquisition firms effect the Pakistani stock market (PSX) during all stages of bubble periods. The regression results of "transaction multiples" and "inverse transaction multiples" show that the trading of the securities of Merger & Acquisition firms has increased in all stages of bubble periods less crash period where they decreased. The regression results have also revealed that Pakistani investors in the stock market carry a "weak financial knowledge & financial risk distress management" & they prefer market manipulation for discounting & therefore, they are adversely hit market manipulations in the stock exchange while trading securities. Resultantly, managerial incentives, as well as cost of capital of Merger & Acquisition firms, stand increased with the help of relevance of accounting, Earning's manipulation & by exercise Investing Activities.

Key Words: History of Bubble; Stock Market Bubble, Firms Valuation, Earnings Management; Merger & Acquisition.

Introduction

The stock market forms the backbone of a country's financial hub and is based on various markets and exchanges. The security trading & investment is affected by multiple factors thereby creating speculations among investors which resultantly change the original fundamental and book values of the firm's assets (Ahmed et al., 1998). What is stock market bubbling? Stock market bubbling has been expressed differently by the authors. Some say it is due to over-investment, some regard it as demand & supply imbalance & some claim it to be due to investors' speculative beliefs Alana et al., 2016; Gilchrist 2005; Garcia et al., 2007; Fama 1965; Porter 2003 & Tirole 1985). Yang (2006) comments that so far, no cogent econometric technique for detection has been designed. However, some useful econometric techniques employed are Cointegration by "Brooks et al., 2003", by data "Bhol (2003) & Basu (1977)" & Markovian Regime Model by "Ferreira 2009". Various researches gone in this regard predict that "South sea bubble by Hoppit which was due to investor Speculative beliefs (2002)"; "Impact of Merger & Acquisition by Yosef et al., (2010) due to firms Earnings management, issuance of shares & firms relevance of accounting Techniques" & "1990 USA Tech Bubble by Huddart et al., (2001-03-06-07) due to Insider trading & earnings management etc."

Unfortunately, very little research on the aspect of the M&A effect on stock market bubbling has gone in even at the international level. In the case of Pakistan, this aspect stands totally ignored. It has been very unfortunate that the phenomena of M&A in Pakistan could not be developed at the desired pace due to the nationalization policies of the previous Governments. However, during the period of 1995 to 2015 M&A transactions have taken place mostly in the banking sector as compared to the corporate sector yet it cannot be regarded as a complete success. In this research, we have tried to assess the effect of M&A on the Pakistani stock market (PSX) bubbling. The scope of this research covers the causes as to why our stock market is so inefficient, why it is not the true representative of our Economy, Industry production rate, GDP, why the FDI and M&A

^{*}PhD Scholar, National University of Modern Languages Islamabad, Pakistan. Email; <u>rajawaleedkhalid@vahoo.com</u>

[†] Professor (Vice Chancellor), City University, Peshawar, KP, Pakistan.

[‡] Head of Department, School of Business and Management, Minhaj University, Lahore, Punjab, Pakistan.

has failed in the perspective of Pakistan & How the Business Tycoons change their Share values through Financial Manipulation & Relevance of Firms Accounting Information Techniques.

Conceptual Frame Work

The research framework & conceptual design of our study have been derived from the research work of these authors i.e. Hansen (1987, 2000); Liu et al. (2002); Bhojraj and Lee (2002); Omran (2003); Cosh et al., (2005); Officer (2007); Schreiner & Spremann (2007); De Franco et al. (2008); Franco & Jin (2008); Elnathan et al. (2009); Sehgal & Pandey (2010); Yosef et al. (2010) and Roux et al. (2014). In this research, the impact of M&A firms on the bubble have been examined. We have also carried out different studies in this respect such as the technology bubble which prevailed in the US stock market during the era of the 1990s and related it to the Pakistani stock market, which is highly speculative in nature & also possesses too weak checks and balance. It has also been noticed that profitable firms increase their M&A activities long side come out with new projects due to which trading of equities is enhanced manifold inside as well outside of the exchanges during the bubble period. In order to estimate the quantum of trading of equities we have used transaction multiples and these multiples will further help us to determine the valuation firm's equities (Sehgal & Pandey 2010; lie & lie 2002; Yosef et al., 2010 & Schreiner & Spremann 2007). In the aftermath of previous studies, it was also established that all through the pre-bubble and bubble stages the equity of M&A companies gets enhanced whereas during the bubble burst period it is declined. In the USA market, the investors trade equities & carry investment after gaging the performance of the firm in the stock market. in Scenario of Pakistan stock market, we have applied CFO VS Accruals in the Ohlson (1995) model to assess the Financial knowledge of Pakistani investors (Yosef et al., 2010; Rozic et al., 2017; Burgstahler and Dichev 1997; Kothari et al., 2005 & Roman & Shahrur 2008 etc). During the bubble period also firm's incentive & managerial incentives are obtained through earnings manipulations and to estimate these manipulations we will employ Expected accruals Vs Unexpected accruals in Ohlson's Model. Which will also provide us the information of about the financial knowledge & earnings manipulations of investors by multiplying loss earnings with Expected accruals & Unexpected accruals (Yosef et al., 2010; Rozic et al., 2017; Burgstahler and Dichev 1997; Kothari et al., 2005 & Roman & Shahrur 2008 etc).

Hypothesis

The global study of the aspect of M&A reveals that firms resort to this while carrying their expansion & during the wealth maximization & the same is transformed in to share prices of their firms. We have used various Financial variables to measure the effect of Pakistan's M&A firms on the stock market during all phases of the bubble. Similarly, how Firms Earnings management, Managerial Incentive, Relevance / Non- Relevance of Accounting Information and Valuation & Trading (inside/outside the exchange) affect the stock prices during all phases of bubble & how firm's economic condition & Investors financial Knowledge contribute towards the stock market bubbling during all phases of the bubble.

Econometric Model

Transaction Multiples Analysis

In this research transaction multiples based on three multiples Price-Earnings ratio (P/E), Enterprise Value to Sales (EV/S) & Price to Book value (P/B) have been employed to access firms valuation & trading inside & outside the exchange during all phases of bubble and how stock market or stock exchanges movements affect the Merger & Acquisitions activities with each other (Bhojraj & Lee, 2002 De Franco et al., 2008 & Francis et al., 2005).

Transaction value ratios it

$$= \alpha_{it} + \beta_1 ROE_{it} + \beta_2 Profit \ margin_{it} + \beta_3 Sales \ growth_{it_1} + \beta_4 \ Lev_{it} + \beta_5 \ Pre \ Bubble_{it} + Post \ Bubble_{it} - -+e_{it}$$

Price-Regression Analysis

Here the price regression analysis has been employed to determine the relationship between target price with financial information of firms during all sub-stages of a bubble. The price regression model has been taken from Ohlson (1995). In this model regression of sale price is done on the basis of BV of its equity, expected earnings growth & earnings (Collins et al., 1997; Sloan et al., 1996 & Basu 1997). The price regression model is appended below;

$$P_{it} = \alpha_{it} + \beta_1 BV_{it} + \beta_2 E_{it} + \beta_3 R \& D_{it} + \beta_4 Sales_{it} + - - - - - - + e_{it}$$

$$P_{\frac{it}{BV_{it}}} = \alpha_o(\frac{1}{BV_{it}}) + \beta_1(\frac{BV_{it}}{BV_{it}}) + \beta_2(\frac{E_{it}}{BV_{it}}) + \beta_3(\frac{\log E_{it}}{BV_{it}}) + \beta_4(\frac{SalesCh_{it}}{BV_{it}}) + - - - - + e_{it}$$

Explanation of purchase price through accruals vs. cash flows capability

Accrual & non-accrual parts can be derived by disaggregating the income statement, which can be used to compare the investor's reliance during M&A and to find out as to how the market investors are attracted by earnings manipulation. The firm managers always keep Accruals positive in the income statements to keep their share prices high in the capital market (Yosef et al., 2010). The equation of Accruals Vs OCF is appended below,

$$\begin{split} P_{\frac{it}{BV_{it}}} &= \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{o}\left(\frac{1}{BV_{it}}\right) + \beta_{1}\left(\frac{BV_{it}}{BV_{it}}\right) + \beta_{2}\left(\frac{Total\,ACC_{it}}{BV_{it}}\right) + \beta_{3}\left(\frac{CFO_{it}}{BV_{it}}\right) + \beta_{4}\left(\frac{Total\,ACC_{it}*loss}{BV_{it}}\right) \\ &+ \beta_{5}\left(\frac{CFO*loss_{it}}{BV_{it}}\right) + \beta_{5}\left(\frac{R\&D_{it}}{BV_{it}}\right) + \beta_{5}\left(\frac{Sales_{it}}{BV_{it}}\right) + -+\mathbf{e}_{it} \end{split}$$

Expected & Unexpected Earnings Management

Unexpected & accepted earnings management is based on Jones Model (1991), Kothari et al., (2005) & Raman & Shahrur, 2008). Hence the equation is mentioned below

$$\left(\frac{TA_{it}}{TA_{t-1}}\right) = \beta_o\left(\frac{1}{TA_{t-1}}\right) + \beta_1\left(\frac{Ch \text{ rev}}{TA_{t-1}} - \frac{ch AR}{TA_{t-1}}\right) + \beta_2\left(\frac{GPPE_t}{TA_{t-1}}\right) + \beta_e(ROA_t) + \beta_4(BM_t) + e_{it}$$

Methodology

Sampling and Data

The present research on the effect of M&A companies on the stock market constitutes of the data obtained from 48 firms enlisted on the inventory of SECP & PSX and the time series data made use of in this context is for the period of 2000 to 2017. The whole data has been taken from COMPUSTAT. The M&A data has been taken from the government website of "Competition Commission of Pakistan". In addition, the panel data has been used for research regression analysis (Yosef et al., 2010).

Description of Variables

```
Abn \ Accruals = \frac{7 \operatorname{ordelAccr}}{7 \operatorname{At-1}} \mathbf{a}_{0} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{7 \operatorname{At-1}} + \mathbf{\beta}_{1} \left( \frac{\Delta B \operatorname{seve}}{7 \operatorname{At-2}} - \frac{\Delta A \operatorname{At}}{7 \operatorname{At-2}} \right) + \mathbf{\beta}_{2} \frac{c \rho P B \operatorname{s}}{7 \operatorname{At-2}} + \mathbf{\beta}_{3} ROA + \mathbf{\beta}_{4} BM \quad ; \quad \text{Sales} \left( \frac{\operatorname{sales}}{7 \operatorname{urnover}(Net)} \right)
Lev. = \left( \frac{7 \operatorname{ordelAccr}}{7 \operatorname{ordelAccr}} - C \operatorname{urnothlabilities}} \right); BM. = Common book equity to market Value of equity ;
Transaction Value = \text{saleprice of firms equity}. \qquad MVE = Price * Number Of Out Standing Shares;
Profit Margin = PM = \left( \frac{BBITDA}{\operatorname{sales}} \right); ROA = \left( \frac{BBITDA}{\operatorname{totalAssets}} \right) \quad \frac{P}{S} = \left( \frac{Price \ of \ Firm'sequily}{Book \ Value \ of \ Equily} \right); \operatorname{Sales} \left( \frac{\operatorname{sales}}{\operatorname{turnover}(Net)} \right)
ROE = \left( \frac{N \operatorname{stincoms} Before \ Extraordinay \ Items}{Book \ Value} \right); \frac{P}{S} = \left( \frac{\operatorname{Sale price \ of \ Firm'sequily}}{\operatorname{Book \ Value}} \right); \frac{EV}{S} = \left( \frac{EV}{\operatorname{totalRevenue}} \right);
Dummy \ Variables = "Bubble \ period; \ Crash \ Period, \ Pre-Bubble \ Period; \ Post \ Bubble \ Period \&
Earnings \ Loss". \ Sales \ Growth. = Percntage \ Change. \ in \ Sales.; \ Size = \log \ of \ total \ market \ capitalization \ of \ common \ stock \ or \ log \ of \ total \ Asset \ DV = \ Common \ stock \ or \ log \ of \ total \ Meth \ total \ MV = \ Common \ stock \ or \ by \ Current \ market \ trice.
```

Results & Discussion

Bubble Detection in PSX (Pakistani Stack Market)

The above-captioned diagram represents the stock market bubbling process and this procedure we have obtained from the research work of the following authors i.e. (Bhattacharya et al., 2010; Basu 1997 & Himmelberg et al., 2005). According to these authors, the Stock market bubbling prevailed in the PSX from "2003 to 2006", "2007 to 2009" & "2012 to 2017".



Results & Discussion

Regression analysis of all transaction multiples indicates that they possess a mutual +ve relationship during all phases bubble to accept crash period. This also proves that the trading & share values of M&A firms have also enhanced consequently which also effects stock market bubbling positively. In addition, these results also indicated that the firm's trading inside or outside the exchange, Operational activities, increase in the cost of capital & Shareholders' wealth has also increased.

Table 1.

Dependent Variable: P/E			Dependent Variable: B/P			Dependent Variable: EV/S		
Variable	Coefficient	t-Statistic	Variable	Coefficient	t-Statistic	Variable	Coefficient	t-Statistic
С	-0.0218	-1.489	С	-0.0094	-0.3323	С	-0.0485	-1.61132
Pre-Bubble	0.021	1.1974	Pre- Bubble	0.05994	(1.7569)*	Pre-Bubble	0.1155	(3.2000)***
Bubble	0.0707	(4.2707)***	Bubble	0.1347	(4.1917)***	Bubble	0.0584	(1.7187)*
Crash	-0.0733	(-4.0074)***	Crash	-0.1286	(-3.6220)***	Crash	-0.0965	(-2.5716)***
Post-Bubble	0.0381	(2.9075)***	Post-Bubble	0.06857	(2.6927)***	Post-Bubble	0.0525	(1.9501)***
Effects Specification			Effects Specification			Effects Specification		
Cross	-section fixed (dummy v	ariables)	Cross-section fixed (dummy variables)			Cross-section fixed (dummy variables)		
R-squared	0.47855		R-squared	0.439226		R-squared	0.566172	
Adjusted R-sq	uared 0.445421		Adjusted R-squared	0.403598		Adjusted R-squa	red 0.53861	
F-statistic	14.44503		F-statistic	12.32834		F-statistic	20.54164	
N	847		Prob(F-statistic)	847		Prob(F-statistic)	847	
Dependent Variable: E/P		Dependent Variable: B/P		Dependent Variable: S/EV				
	Dependent Variable: E	/P	Depend	ent Variable: B	(k *	Dep	pendent Variable: S/	EV
Variable	Dependent Variable: E Coefficient	P t-Statistic	Variable	ent Variable: B Coefficient	t-Statistic	Variable	Coefficient	EV t-Statistic
Variable C	Dependent Variable: E Coefficient -0.045	/P t-Statistic -1.059	Variable C	ent Variable: B Coefficient -0.0141	t-Statistic -0.37384	Variable C	Coefficient 0.0033	t-Statistic 0.0925
Variable C Roe	Dependent Variable: E Coefficient -0.045 -0.2574	/P t-Statistic -1.059 (-2.5202)***	Variable C Roe	ent Variable: B Coefficient -0.0141 -0.3297	t-Statistic -0.37384 (-3.6340)***	Variable C Roe	Coefficient 0.0033 -0.1826	t-Statistic 0.0925 (-2.1149)***
Variable C Roe PM	Dependent Variable: E Coefficient -0.045 -0.2574 -0.1534	/P t-Statistic -1.059 (-2.5202)*** (-3.6335)***	Variable C Roe PM	ent Variable: B Coefficient -0.0141 -0.3297 -0.0762	t-Statistic -0.37384 (-3.6340)*** (-2.0315)***	Variable C Roe PM	Coefficient 0.0033 -0.1826 -0.4258	t-Statistic 0.0925 (-2.1149)*** (-11.9248)***
Variable C Roe PM Lev	Dependent Variable: E Coefficient -0.045 -0.2574 -0.1534 -0.0495	/P t-Statistic -1.059 (-2.5202)*** (-3.6335)*** -1.5297	Variable C Roe PM Lev	ent Variable: B Coefficient -0.0141 -0.3297 -0.0762 -0.0355	t-Statistic -0.37384 (-3.6340)*** (-2.0315)*** -1.2371	Variable C Roe PM Lev	Coefficient 0.0033 -0.1826 -0.4258 -0.1607	t-Statistic 0.0925 (-2.1149)*** (-11.9248)*** (-5.8724)***
Variable C Roe PM Lev Sales Growth	Dependent Variable: E Coefficient -0.045 -0.2574 -0.1534 -0.0495 0.0271	/P t-Statistic -1.059 (-2.5202)*** (-3.6335)*** -1.5297 1.1915	Variable C Roe PM Lev Sales Growth	ent Variable: B Coefficient -0.0141 -0.3297 -0.0762 -0.0355 0.0313	t-Statistic -0.37384 (-3.6340)*** (-2.0315)*** -1.2371 1.5495	Variable C Roe PM Lev Sales Growth	pendent Variable: 5/ Coefficient 0.0033 -0.1826 -0.4258 -0.1607 0.0229	t-Statistic 0.0925 (-2.1149)*** (-11.9248)*** (-5.8724)*** 1.1939
Variable C Roe PM Lev Sales Growth Size	Dependent Variable: E Coefficient -0.045 -0.2574 -0.1534 -0.0495 0.0271 -0.0613	/p t-Statistic -1.059 (-2.5202)*** (-3.6335)*** -1.5297 1.1915 (-2.875)***	Variable C Roe PM Lev Sales Growth Size	ent Variable: B Coefficient -0.0141 -0.3297 -0.0762 -0.0355 0.0313 -0.0371	t-Statistic -0.37384 (-3.6340)*** (-2.0315)*** -1.2371 1.5495 (-1.9595)**	Variable C Roe PM Lev Sales Growth Size	pendent Variable: 5/ Coefficient 0.0033 -0.1826 -0.4258 -0.1607 0.0229 -0.0724	t-Statistic 0.0925 (-2.1149)*** (-11.9248)*** (-5.8724)*** 1.1939 (-4.0139)***
Variable C Roe PM Lev Sales Growth Size Pre-Bubble	Dependent Variable: E Coefficient -0.045 -0.2574 -0.1534 -0.0495 0.0271 -0.0613 -0.0235	/p t-Statistic -1.059 (-2.5202)*** (-3.6335)*** -1.5297 1.1915 (-2.875)*** -0.5133	Variable C Roe PM Lev Sales Growth Size Pre-Bubble	ent Vanable: B Coefficient -0.0141 -0.3297 -0.0762 -0.0355 0.0313 -0.0371 0.0659	t-Statistic -0.37384 (-3.6340)*** (-2.0315)*** -1.2371 1.5495 (-1.9595)** 1.6228	Variable C Roe PM Lev Sales Growth Size Pre-Bubble	pendent Vanable: 5/ Coefficient 0.0033 -0.1826 -0.4258 -0.1607 0.0229 -0.0724 0.0091	t-Statistic 0.0925 (-2.1149)*** (-11.9248)*** (-5.8724)*** 1.1939 (-4.0139)*** 0.2363
Variable C Roe PM Lev Sales Growth Size Pre-Bubble Bubble	Dependent Variable: E Coefficient -0.045 -0.2574 -0.1534 -0.0495 0.0271 -0.0613 -0.0235 -0.1293	/P t-Statistic -1.059 (-2.5202)*** (-3.6335)*** -1.5297 1.1915 (-2.875)*** -0.5133 (-2.5015)***	Depend Variable C Roe PM Lev Sales Growth Size Pre-Bubble Bubble	ent Variable: B Coefficient -0.0141 -0.3297 -0.0762 -0.0355 0.0313 -0.0371 0.0659 -0.1427	t-Statistic -0.37384 (-3.6340)**** (-2.0315)**** -1.2371 1.5495 (-1.9595)*** 1.6228 (-3.1063)****	Variable C Roe PM Lev Sales Growth Size Pre-Bubble Bubble	variable: S/ Coefficient 0.0033 -0.1826 -0.4258 -0.1607 0.0229 -0.0724 0.0091 -0.1486	t-Statistic 0.0925 (-2.1149)*** (-11.9248)*** (-5.8724)*** 1.1939 (-4.0139)*** 0.2363 (-3.3993)***
Variable C Roe PM Lev Sales Growth Size Pre-Bubble Bubble Crash	Dependent Variable: E Coefficient -0.045 -0.2574 -0.1534 -0.0495 0.0271 -0.0613 -0.0235 -0.1293 0.1601	/P t-Statistic -1.059 (-2.5202)*** (-3.6335)*** -1.5297 1.1915 (-2.875)*** -0.5133 (-2.5015)*** (2.9204)***	Depend Variable C Roe PM Lev Sales Growth Size Pre-Bubble Bubble Crash	ent Variable: B Coefficient -0.0141 -0.3297 -0.0762 -0.0355 0.0313 -0.0371 0.0659 -0.1427 0.1314	t-Statistic -0.37384 (-3.6340) -1.2371 1.5495 (-1.9595) 1.6228 (-3.1063) (2.6983)	Variable C Roe PM Lev Sales Growth Size Pre-Bubble Bubble Crash	variable: S/ Coefficient 0.0033 -0.1826 -0.4258 -0.1607 0.0229 -0.0724 0.0091 -0.1486 0.1759	t-Statistic 0.0925 (-2.1149)*** (-11.9248)*** (-5.8724)*** 1.1939 (-4.0139)*** 0.2363 (-3.3993)*** (3.7942)***

Causes and Manifestations of the Perceived Behavioral Issues of Adolescents A Case Study of Learners in Secondary Schools Islamabad

Effects Specification			Effects Specification			Effects Specification		
Cross-section fixed (dummy variables)			Cross-section fixed (dummy variables)			Cross-section fixed (dummy variables)		
R-squared	0.321612		R-squared	0.453831		R-squared	0.651799	
Adjusted R-squared	0.283899		Adjusted R-squared	0.415417		Adjusted R-squared	0.627309	
F-statistic	6.740584		F-statistic	11.81436		F-statistic	26.61505	
N	847		N	847		N	847	
Dependen	t Variable: P/I	BV	Dependent Variable: P/BV			Dependent Variable: P/BV		
Variable	Coefficient	t-Stat	Variable	Coefficient	t-Stat	Variable	Coefficient	t-Stat
С	0.1593	(3.2321)***	с	0.1085	(2.0853)***	С	0.1768	(2.9013)***
1/BV	-1.1209	(-14.8849)***	1/BV	-0.379	(-5.3136)***	1/BV	-0.4593	(-6.1541)***
Sales Ch/BV	0.0774	(2.6057)***	TACC/BV	0.4477	(7.7852)***	ACC/BV	0.0529	(6.7015)***
Earnings/BV	0.2004	(9.505)***	CFO/BV	0.0828	(3.3609)***	Abn-Acc/BV	0.1341	(2.5644)***
Negative Earnings/BV	-0.3207	(-6.0629)***	CFOLOSS/BV	-7.08E-05	(-2.6372)***	loss*Abn-Acc/BV	-0.7863	(-4.5153)***
			LOSS*TACC/BV	-0.001	(-1.8841)**	Loss ACC/BV	-3.37E-05	(-4.7143)***
			Sales Change/BV	0.0079	0.2543	CFO/BV	0.6472	(2.5419)***
						CFO Loss/BV	-3.78E-05	-1.1413
						Sales Growth/BV	-0.0085	-0.3952
Effects Specification			Effects Specification		Effects Specification			
Cross-section fixed (dummy variables)		Cross-section fixed (dummy variables)		Cross-section fixed (dummy variables)				
R-squared	0.413863		R-squared	0.401043		R-squared	0.40044	
Adjusted R-squared	0.376624		Adjusted R-squared	0.361367		Adjusted R-squared	0.35345	
F-statistic	11.11379		F-statistic	10.10793		F-statistic	8.521779	
N	847		N	847		N	847	

Similarly, regression of the inverse transaction multiples model also shows that the Growth, ROE, profitability, annual growth of profit of firms & demand of shares of M&A firms also increase less during the crash period. This proves that the future prospect of M&A firms is better than competitive firms. The stakeholders of these firms earn high profit & willing to take a risk and invest more. The leverage results also indicate that the capital structure of M&A firms also stands strengthened, which also conform that M&A firms enhance their investment & cost of capital during all phases of bubble & decrease in the crash period. The analysis of expected / unexpected Accruals, Cash Flows & Cash Flows Losses reveal that market investors like manipulations by the M&A firms and having observed even the slightest upward movement in already overvalued shares of these firms they again start investing heavily in these firms. The discounting rates have also increased. The results of BV or 1/BV results indicated during all stages of the bubble firm's managerial incentive & book value have also inclined in all phases of the stock market bubble. The price regression model also states that Pakistani investors pay more heat to "non-relevance of accounting information" of the M&A firms and invest more vigorously in these firms.

Conclusion

In this research, we have endeavored to ascertain how the M&A firms effect the Pakistani stock market (PSX) during all stages of the stock market bubble phases. In this research, we have made use of 847 observations. The regression results of "transaction multiples" and "inverse transaction multiples" show that the trading of the securities of Merger & Acquisition companies has improved during all stages of stock market bubble periods less Bubble crash period where they decreased. The research results have also revealed that Pakistani stock market investors in the stock market carry a weak "financial knowledge" and the financial risk – distress - management. Hence, they adversely hit market manipulations in the PSX while trading securities. Resultantly the managerial incentives, as well as the cost of capital of M&A companies, stand increased with the relevance of accounting, Abnormal accruals & Earning's management.

Suggestions & Recommendations

To control bubble creation in the PSX right from the outset, it is imperative that the rules & regulations of SECP must be implemented in letter & spirit through dedicated & honest staff. In order to achieve the objective, SECP must also hire highly qualified financial analysts who should always be ready with efficient remedial solutions at hand. Since firms resort to abnormal earnings manipulations during the bubble stage, therefore SECP must design an efficient Audit mechanism that could promptly detect the anomalies and take corrective measures well in time so that the market investors could be safe from unusual losses. SECP should also make earnest efforts to educate general market investors on business trends through arranging various seminars and workshops thereby improving the financial knowledge of investors to save them abnormal losses.

References

- Aharon, D. Y., Gavious, I., & Yosef, R. (2010). Stock market bubble effects on mergers and acquisitions. The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance, 50(4), 456-470.
- Ahmed, A. (1998). Stock Market Interlinkages in Emerging Markets. Pakistan Institute of Development Economics. (No. 1998: 159).
- Asker, J., Farre-Mensa, J., & Ljungqvist, A. (2011). Does the stock market distort investment incentives. Unpublished working paper, New York University.
- Ball, R., & Shivakumar, L. (2005). Earnings quality in UK private firms: comparative loss recognition timeliness. *Journal of accounting and economics*, 39(1), 83-128.
- Basu, S. (1977). Investment performance of common stocks in relation to their price-earnings ratios: A test of the efficient market hypothesis. *The journal of Finance*, 32(3), 663-682.
- Berkovitch, E., & Narayanan, M. P. (1993). Motives for takeovers: An empirical investigation. Journal of Financial and Quantitative analysis, 28(3), 347-362.
- Bhattacharya, N., Demers, E., & Joos, P. (2010). The relevance of accounting information in a stock market bubble: evidence from internet IPOs. *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, 37(3-4), 291-321.
- Bhojraj, S., & Lee, C. (2002). Who is my peer? A valuation-based approach to the selection of comparable firms. *Journal of accounting research*, 40(2), 407-439.
- Bohl, M. T. (2003). Periodically collapsing bubbles in the US stock market? International Review of Economics and Finance, 12, 385–397
- Brooks, C., & Katsaris, A. (2003). Rational speculative bubbles: An empirical investigation of the London Stock Exchange.*Bulletin of Economic Research*, 55, 319–346
- Brush, T. H. (1996). Predicted change in operational synergy and post-acquisition performance of acquired businesses. *Strategic Management Journal*, 17(1), 1-24.
- Burgstahler, D., & Dichev, I. (1997). Earnings management to avoid earnings decreases and losses. *Journal of accounting and economics*, 24(1), 99-126.
- Chang, T., Gil-Alana, L., Aye, G. C., Gupta, R., & Ranjbar, O. (2016). Testing for bubbles in the BRICS stock markets. *Journal of Economic Studies*, 43(4), 646-660.
- Chatterjee, S., & Lubatkin, M. (1990). Corporate mergers, stockholder diversification, and changes in systematic risk. *Strategic Management Journal*, 11(4), 255-268.
- Chen, J. (1999). When the bubble is going to burst. International Journal of Theoretical and Applied Finance, 2(03), 285-292.
- Chowdhury, A., Mollah, S., & Al Farooque, O. (2018). Insider-trading, discretionary accruals and information asymmetry. *The British Accounting Review*.
- Collins, D. W., Maydew, E. L., & Weiss, I. S. (1997). Changes in the value-relevance of earnings and book values over the past forty years. *Journal of accounting and economics*, 24(1), 39-67.
- Conn, R. L., Cosh, A., Guest, P. M., & Hughes, A. (2005). The impact on UK acquirers of domestic, crossborder, public and private acquisitions. *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, 32(5-6), 815-870.
- Costa, C. T., da Silva, W. V., de Almeida, L. B., & da Veiga, C. P. (2017). Empirical evidence of the existence of speculative bubbles in the prices of stocks traded on the São Paulo Stock Exchange. *Contaduría y Administración*, 62(4), 1317-1334.
- Cuñado, J., Gil-Alana, L. A., & Gracia, F. P. D. (2007). Testing for stock market bubbles using nonlinear models and fractional integration. *Applied Financial Economics*, 17(16), 1313-1321.
- Dargenidou, C., Tonks, I., & Tsoligkas, F. (2018). Insider trading and the post-earnings announcement drift. Journal of Business Finance & Accounting, 45(3-4), 482-508.
- De Franco, G., & Jin, J. (2008). The private company discount and earnings quality. 한국회계학회 기타자료집, 2008(3), 54-104.
- Degiannakis, S., Giannopoulos, G., Ibrahim, S., & Rozic, I. (2017). Earnings management to avoid losses and earnings declines in Croatia.

- Elnathan, D., Gavious, I., & Hauser, S. (2009). On the added value of firm valuation by financial experts. International Journal of Business and Management, 4(3), 70-85.
- Elsevier.K BRUNNERMEIER, M. A. R. K. U. S., & Nagel, S. (2004). Hedge funds and the technology bubble. The Journal of Finance, 59(5), 2013-2040.
- Fama, E. F. (1965). The behavior of stock-market prices. The journal of Business, 38(1), 34-105.
- Fama, E. F., Fisher, L., Jensen, M. C., & Roll, R. (1969). The Adjustment of Stock Prices to New Information. International Economic Review, 10, 1-21.
- Felo, A. J., Kim, J. W., & Lim, J. H. (2018). Can XBRL detailed tagging of footnotes improve financial analysts' information environment?. International Journal of Accounting Information Systems, 28, 45-58.
- Ferreira, J. E. de A. (2009). Periodically collapsing rational bubbles in exchange rates: A Markov-switching analysis fora sample of industrialized markets. *Studies in Economics*, 1–32.
- Francis, J., & Schipper, K. (1999). Have financial statements lost their relevance?. Journal of accounting Research, 37(2), 319-352.
- Francis, J., LaFond, R., Olsson, P., & Schipper, K. (2005). The market pricing of accruals quality. Journal of accounting and economics, 39(2), 295-327.
- Gilchrist, S., Himmelberg, C. P., & Huberman, G. (2005). Do stock price bubbles influence corporate investment?. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 52(4), 805-827.
- Hansen, R. G. (1987). A theory for the choice of exchange medium in mergers and acquisitions. *Journal of business*, 75-95.
- Hirshleifer, D. (2001). Investor psychology and asset pricing. Journal of Finance, 56, 1533–1597.
- Hoppit, J. (2002). The Myths of the South Sea Bubble. Transactions of the Royal Historical Society, 12, 141-165.
- Huddart, S., & Louis, H. (2007). Stock returns, earnings management, and insider selling during the 1990s stock market bubble. Unpublished working paper. Pennsylvania State University.
- Huddart, S., Ke, B., & Shi, C. (2007). Jeopardy, non-public information, and insider trading around SEC 10-K and 10-Q filings. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 43(1), 3-36.
- Jiang, Z. Q., Zhou, W. X., Sornette, D., Woodard, R., Bastiaensen, K., & Cauwels, P. (2010). Bubble diagnosis and prediction of the 2005–2007 and 2008–2009 Chinese stock market bubbles. *Journal of economic* behavior & organization, 74(3), 149-162.
- Kindleberger, C. P. (1978): Manias, Panics, and Crashes: A History of Financial Crises. Basic Books.
- Koeplin, J., Sarin, A., & Shapiro, A. C. (2000). The private company discount. Journal of Applied Corporate Finance, 12(4), 94-101.
- Kothari, S. P., Leone, A. J., & Wasley, C. E. (2005). Performance matched discretionary aaccrual measures. *Journal of accounting and economics*, 39(1), 163-197.
- Lakonishok, J., Shleifer, A., & Vishny, R. W. (1992). The impact of institutional trading on stock prices. Journal of financial economics, 32(1), 23-43.
- Lev, B., & Zarowin, P. (1999). The boundaries of financial reporting and how to extend them. *Journal of Accounting research*, 37(2), 353-385.
- Lie, E., & Lie, H. J. (2002). Multiples used to estimate corporate value. Financial Analysts Journal, 58(2), 44-54.
- Liu, J., Nissim, D., & Thomas, J. (2002). Equity valuation using multiples. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 40(1), 135-172.
- Ljungqvist, A., & Wilhelm, W. J. (2003). IPO pricing in the dot-com bubble. *The Journal of Finance*, 58(2), 723-752.
- Miller, E. M. (1977). Risk, Uncertainty, and Divergence of Opinion, Journal of Finance, 32(4), 1151-1168.
- Nel, S., Bruwer, W., & le Roux, N. (2014). An emerging market perspective on peer group selection based on valuation fundamentals. *Applied Financial Economics*, 24(9), 621-637.
- Officer, M. S. (2007). The price of corporate liquidity: Acquisition discounts for unlisted targets. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 83(3), 571-598.
- Ohlson, J. A. (1995). Earnings, book values, and dividends in security valuation. Contemporary Accounting.
- Omran, M. F. (2003). Equity valuation using multiples in the emerging market of the United Arab Emirates. *Review of Middle East Economics and Finance*, 1(3), 267-283.

- Orlitzky, M. (2013). Corporate social responsibility, noise, and stock market volatility. The Academy of Management Perspectives, 27(3), 238-254.
- Porter, D. P., & Smith, V. L. (2003). Stock market bubbles in the laboratory. *The Journal of Behavioral Finance*, 4(1), 7-20.
- Pratt, S. P., & Pratt. (2001). Business valuation discounts and premiums. New York, NY: Wiley.
- Raman, K., & Shahrur, H. (2008). Relationship-specific investments and earnings management: Evidence on corporate suppliers and customers. *The Accounting Review*, 83(4), 1041-1081.
- Ravenscraft, D. J., & Scherer, F. M. (1987). Life after takeover. The Journal of Industrial Economics, 147-156.
- Schreiner, A., & Spremann, K. (2007). Multiples and their valuation accuracy in European equity markets. Available at SSRN 957352.
- Sehgal, S., & Pandey, A. (2010). Equity valuation using price multiples: Evidence from India. Asian Academy of Management Journal of Accounting and Finance, 6(1), 89-108.
- Shah, P., & Arora, P. (2014). M&A Announcements and Their Effect on Return to Shareholders: An Event Study. Accounting and Fianance Research, 21.
- Shleifer, A., & Vishny, R. W. (2003). Stock market driven acquisitions. *Journal of financial Economics*, 70(3), 295-311.
- Tirole, J. (1985). Asset bubbles and overlapping generations. *Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society*, 1499-1528.
- Tran, T. B. N. (2017). Speculative bubbles in emerging stock markets and macroeconomic factors: A new empirical evidence for Asia and Latin America. *Research in International Business and Finance*, 42, 454-467.
- Vander Vennet, R. (1996). The effect of mergers and acquisitions on the efficiency and profitability of EC credit institutions. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 20(9), 1531-1558.
- Yang, Q. (2006). Stock bubbles: The theory and estimation (Doctoral dissertation, Brunel University Brunel Business School Ph.D. Theses).