

p-ISSN: 2521-2982
e-ISSN: 2707-4587

GLOBAL
Political
REVIEW *empowering humanity*



GPR

GLOBAL POLITICAL REVIEW
HEC-RECOGNIZED CATEGORY-Y

VOL. X, ISSUE III, SUMMER (SEPTEMBER-2025)

DOI (Journal): 10.31703/gpr

DOI (Volume): 10.31703/gpr/.2025(X)

DOI (Issue): 10.31703/gpr.2025(X.III)

Double-blind Peer-review Research Journal
www.gprjournal.com
© Global Political Review


HumanityPublications
sharing research

Article Title

Assessing the Impact of Economic Liberalization on India's Growth Trajectory: A Comprehensive Analysis

Abstract

This paper critically examines the comprehensive analysis of the impacts of economic liberalization on India's growth trajectory since the economic reforms of 1991. The paper also analyzes how liberalization reshaped the Indian economy, drawing on a careful examination of macroeconomic patterns, policy reforms, sectoral performance, and foreign investment inflows. The study draws on qualitative and quantitative evidence to evaluate changes in GDP growth, employment, trade, and industrial production, and regional disparities and socio-economic concerns that persisted or have arisen in the post-reform period. By situating India's liberalization in the international and historical context, the study offers a balanced analysis of the successes, shortcomings, and long-term consequences of market-based reforms. The evidence suggests that although liberalization unleashed mammoth economic growth and global integration, its benefits have been disproportionately distributed, and more inclusive and sustainable policy interventions in the years to come are needed.

Keywords: Indian Growth Trajectory, Economic Liberalization, Macroeconomic Development, Foreign Investment

Authors:

Hamza Farooq: (Corresponding Author)

BS Scholar, Department of International Relations, Government College University, Faisalabad, Punjab, Pakistan.
(Email: hamzafarooq3434@gmail.com)

Aliza Khalil: M.Phil. Scholar, Department of International Relations, Government College University Faisalabad, Punjab, Pakistan.

Imran Wakil: Assistant Professor (OPS), Department of International Relations, Government College University Faisalabad, Punjab, Pakistan.

Pages: 83-94

DOI: 10.31703/gpr.2025(X-III).08

DOI link: [https://dx.doi.org/10.31703/gpr.2025\(X-III\).08](https://dx.doi.org/10.31703/gpr.2025(X-III).08)

Article link: <https://gprjournal.com/article/assessing-the-impact-of-economic-liberalization-on-indias-growth-trajectory-a-comprehensive-analysis>

Full-text Link: <https://gprjournal.com/article/assessing-the-impact-of-economic-liberalization-on-indias-growth-trajectory-a-comprehensive-analysis>

PDF link: <https://www.gprjournal.com/jadmin/Author/31rv1olA2.pdf>

Global Political Review

p-ISSN: 2521-2982 e-ISSN: 2707-4587

DOI (journal): 10.31703/gpr

Volume: X (2025)

DOI (volume): 10.31703/gpr.2025(X)

Issue: III Summer (September-2025)

DOI(Issue): 10.31703/gpr.2025(X-III)

Home Page

www.gprjournal.com

Volume: X (2025)

<https://www.gprjournal.com/Current-issue>

Issue: III-Summer (September-2025)

<https://www.gprjournal.com/issue/10/3/2025>

Scope

<https://www.gprjournal.com/about-us/scope>

Submission

<https://humaglobe.com/index.php/gpr/submissions>



Visit Us



Citing this Article

o8		Assessing the Impact of Economic Liberalization on India’s Growth Trajectory: A Comprehensive Analysis	
Authors	Hamza Farooq Aliza Khalil Imran Wakil	DOI	10.31703/gpr.2025(X-III).o8
		Pages	83-94
		Year	2025
		Volume	X
		Issue	III
Referencing & Citing Styles			
APA	Farooq, H., Khalil, A., & Wakil, I. (2025). Assessing the Impact of Economic Liberalization on India’s Growth Trajectory: A Comprehensive Analysis. <i>Global Political Review</i> , X(III), 83-94. https://doi.org/10.31703/gpr.2025(X-III).o8		
CHICAGO	Farooq, Hamza, Aliza Khalil, and Imran Wakil. 2025. "Assessing the Impact of Economic Liberalization on India’s Growth Trajectory: A Comprehensive Analysis." <i>Global Political Review</i> X (III):83-94. doi: 10.31703/gpr.2025(X-III).o8.		
HARVARD	FAROOQ, H., KHALIL, A. & WAKIL, I. 2025. Assessing the Impact of Economic Liberalization on India’s Growth Trajectory: A Comprehensive Analysis. <i>Global Political Review</i> , X, 83-94.		
MHRA	Farooq, Hamza, Aliza Khalil, and Imran Wakil. 2025. 'Assessing the Impact of Economic Liberalization on India’s Growth Trajectory: A Comprehensive Analysis', <i>Global Political Review</i> , X: 83-94.		
MLA	Farooq, Hamza, Aliza Khalil, and Imran Wakil. "Assessing the Impact of Economic Liberalization on India’s Growth Trajectory: A Comprehensive Analysis." <i>Global Political Review</i> X.III (2025): 83-94. Print.		
OXFORD	Farooq, Hamza, Khalil, Aliza, and Wakil, Imran (2025), 'Assessing the Impact of Economic Liberalization on India’s Growth Trajectory: A Comprehensive Analysis', <i>Global Political Review</i> , X (III), 83-94.		
TURABIAN	Farooq, Hamza, Aliza Khalil, and Imran Wakil. "Assessing the Impact of Economic Liberalization on India’s Growth Trajectory: A Comprehensive Analysis." <i>Global Political Review</i> X, no. III (2025): 83-94. https://dx.doi.org/10.31703/gpr.2025(X-III).o8 .		



Global Political Review

www.gprjournal.com

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.31703/gpr>



Volume: X (2025)

URL: [https://doi.org/10.31703/gpr.2025\(X-III\).o8](https://doi.org/10.31703/gpr.2025(X-III).o8)

Issue: III-Summer (September-2025)



Cite Us



Title

Assessing the Impact of Economic Liberalization on India's Growth Trajectory: A Comprehensive Analysis

Authors:

Hamza Farooq: (Corresponding Author)

BS Scholar, Department of International Relations, Government College University, Faisalabad, Punjab, Pakistan.

(Email: hamzafarooq3434@gmail.com)

Aliza Khalil: M.Phil. Scholar, Department of International Relations, Government College University, Faisalabad, Punjab, Pakistan.

Imran Wakil: Assistant Professor (OPS), Department of International Relations, Government College University Faisalabad, Punjab, Pakistan.

Contents

- [Introduction:](#)
- [Research Objectives and Significance](#)
- [Research Questions](#)
- [Methodology and Scope](#)
- [India's Economic Structure Before 1991](#)
- [The 1991 Economic Reforms:](#)
- [Impact on Macroeconomic Indicators](#)
- [Sectoral Analysis of Economic Liberalization](#)
- [Industrial Growth and Manufacturing Sector](#)
- [Agricultural Sector and Rural Development](#)
- [Financial Sector Reforms and Banking Liberalization](#)
- [Socio-Economic Implications](#)
- [Challenges and Developments of Economic Liberalization](#)
- [Prospects and Policy Recommendations](#)
- [Conclusion](#)
- [References](#)

Abstract

This paper critically examines the comprehensive analysis of the impacts of economic liberalization on India's growth trajectory since the economic reforms of 1991. The paper also analyzes how liberalization reshaped the Indian economy, drawing on a careful examination of macroeconomic patterns, policy reforms, sectoral performance, and foreign investment inflows. The study draws on qualitative and quantitative evidence to evaluate changes in GDP growth, employment, trade, and industrial production, and regional disparities and socio-economic concerns that persisted or have arisen in the post-reform period. By situating India's liberalization in the international and historical context, the study offers a balanced analysis of the successes, shortcomings, and long-term consequences of market-based reforms. The evidence suggests that although liberalization unleashed mammoth economic growth and global integration, its benefits have been disproportionately distributed, and more inclusive and sustainable policy interventions in the years to come are needed.

Keywords:

[Indian Growth Trajectory](#), [Economic Liberalization](#), [Macroeconomic Development](#), [Foreign Investment](#)

Introduction:

Background of Economic Liberalization in India

Economic liberalization in India is a series of reforms begun in 1991 aimed at transforming India from a controlled economy to a more market-led system. Under the pre-reform system, India had

been practicing a socialist-oriented economic system (License Raj) based on state control, protectionism, and minimal private sector involvement. Despite its inefficiencies and a sharp balance of payments crisis, however, the country had to adopt a new economic policy paradigm (Aghion et al., [2008](#)).



Economic liberalization in India was a revolutionary change from a state-controlled, protectionist economy to an open, market-based system. Prior to Economic liberalization, the State governed the economy from 1947 to 1990 following independence. India emphasized indigenous production by raising high tariffs and limiting imports, foreign trade, and investment with the assistance of Import Substitution Laws. There was Public Sector Dominance by which the government controlled the major industries like banking, steel, and telecommunications, with very little space for the private sector. The economy expanded at a dismal rate of about 3-4% annually, far behind other developing countries (Panagariya, [2004](#)).

India also had severe economic issues, which finally compelled the government towards more economic reforms. The initial challenge was the balance of payments crisis (1991), where India experienced a sharp foreign exchange crisis, with reserves falling below two weeks' imports. The nation was not able to pay its external debt, and it reached the point of near-default. The government was forced to mortgage gold reserves to get emergency loans from the International Monetary Fund (IMF) (Cerra & Saxena, [2002](#)). The second issue was a high fiscal deficit. Fiscal deficit grew to unsustainable heights, crossing 8% of GDP. Over expenditure by the public without commensurate revenue collection caused economic instability (Acharya, [2001](#)). The third issue was Inflation and Unemployment. Inflation hit a record above 13%, cutting down purchasing power and spreading economic misery (Joshi & Little, [1994](#)). The economy did not create sufficient employment opportunities, and poverty and income inequality increased. The fourth challenge was the slowing industrial growth. Industrial production remained stagnant because of excessive bureaucracy, inefficiency, and the absence of technological progress (Subramanian, 1991). As a result, Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) was zero because of restrictive policies.

To meet these challenges, the Government of India decided to liberalize the economy in 1991 with effective Economic Reforms. India approached the IMF for financial help, which demanded structural adjustments for survival against the crisis. India promised to undertake economic reforms, removing protectionist measures and opening markets in return. Then-Finance Minister Dr. Manmohan

Singh and Prime Minister P.V. Narasimha Rao led the process of reforms. They implemented policies to open trade, privatize public sector units, and deregulate sectors. These reforms were a sharp break from India's past economic strategy, setting the stage for high economic growth, foreign investment, and globalization (Panagariya, [2004](#)).

Research Objectives and Significance

The objectives of this research are to critically analyze the impact of economic liberalization in transforming India's growth trajectory, to analyze how liberalization has influenced macroeconomic performance, sectoral growth, and socio-economic conditions, and to explore whether the reforms have successfully tackled economic problems and driven growth towards sustainability. These objectives are significant because the awareness of these objectives is crucial for policymakers, economists, and researchers studying India's development model.

Research Questions

This research study answers the following research questions.

- What is economic liberalization, and how has it influenced India's GDP growth?
- How have the political and social reforms executed the economic liberalization's impacts on India's economic issues?
- How has economic liberalization changed India's agriculture and industry?
- How have the micro-economic indicators of economic liberalization changed India's manufacturing sector, IT industry, and rural development?

Methodology and Scope

This study employs a mixed-methods strategy, with both qualitative and quantitative data. Tashakkori et al. (2020) state that mixed-method research is known for its ability to furnish a deep understanding by involving diverse data types. Economic indicators like GDP growth, foreign direct investment (FDI), trade volume, and employment statistics constitute primary data. The secondary data include government reports, academic papers, and case studies. The study period considered is 1991 onwards up to date and examines the long-term impacts of liberalization on various aspects.

The Pre-Liberalization Economic Scenario

Prior to the economic reforms of 1991, India had a model of mixed economy with extensive government intervention, protectionist trade policy, and a focus on self-reliance. The economy was dominated by centralized planning, the public sector, and regulatory restrictions that hampered private enterprise and foreign investment.

India's Economic Structure Before 1991

After India's independence in 1947, its leadership had a vision of an economy in which the state had a leading role in its economy. The first economic strategy was a Five-year (1951–1956) plan based on the Soviet Union's economic model, with the goal of advancements in industrial growth. It was based on infrastructure development and agricultural growth, while the Second Five-year plan (1956–1961) was planned under P.C. Mahalanobis' guidance, and prioritized heavy industries and public sector growth (Sharma, [2021](#)).

License Raj needed companies to procure several licenses to set up and expand their productions. It discouraged entrepreneurship, innovation, and competition since getting licenses meant bureaucratic contacts and bribes, which contributed extensively to corruption. For instance, the motorcar industry was curtailed massively during the 1980s; Hindustan Motors' Ambassador and Premier Padmini were almost the only permitted automotive manufacturers with poor technology due to a paucity of players (Mehta, [2022](#)).

India used Import Substitution Industrialization (ISI) to encourage local industrial production. For this purpose, it imposed high tariffs (about 200%) on import quotas and foreign goods. The policy resulted in a lack of foreign competition that enabled local industries to stay afloat, but with substandard products and old technology. For example, the Indian electronics sector fell behind since industries were not allowed to import contemporary components. There were only Bajaj and Rajdoot motorcycles available as choices for the consumers because international brands were prohibited (Gupta, [2023](#)).

Foreign direct investment (FDI) was strictly controlled, with the foreign industrial sector having to cap its equity holding at 40% or enter joint ventures with Indian companies. India received very little foreign investment, losing out on cutting-edge

technology and international business opportunities. For instance, Coca-Cola had to withdraw from India in 1977 because of the stringent FDI policies, opening the market to only Indian brands such as Camp-Cola and Thums Up. IBM also withdrew from India, leading to the sluggish development of the technology industry until the 1990s (Banerjee, [2024](#)).

Key Challenges Faced by the Indian Economy

The failure of the Green Revolution (1960s–70s) slowed down during the 1980s because of inadequate investment and land reforms. The small farmers struggled because they had poor access to irrigation, credit, and modern technology (Holt-Gimenez & Patel, [2009](#)). India was on the verge of economic ruin by 1991, with foreign exchange reserves declining to only \$1.2 billion, hardly sufficient to pay for two weeks' imports. The government had no alternative but to offer 67 tons of gold to borrow an emergency loan from the International Monetary Fund (IMF) (Stimson Center, [2023](#)). The crisis pushed policymakers to disband the License Raj, open the markets to foreign commerce, and induce private sector entry (Irwin, [2025](#)).

India faced many economic problems before the 1991 economic reforms. The decreased growth and less development of the goods were caused by limited global interaction, ineffective policies, and governmental control. The Indian GDP grew at the rate of 3–4% per year from 1950 to 1990, compared with other emerging economies like China (7–9%) and South Korea (8–10%) between the same years. An economist, Raj Krishna, labeled this slow growth of India as "Hindu Rate of Growth" (Mint, [2023](#)).

India's fiscal deficit increased to 8% of GDP in the 1980s, which means that the government is collecting less revenue and spending more. There were several causes, which included an inefficient public sector, overspending on subsidies, and defense expenditure. It influenced public debt to rise and consequently the inflation rate gone high up to 10–13% in the 1980s. Basic commodity prices were high, and necessary goods were out of reach (Columbia University, [2019](#)). In the technology sector, the famous industries like Indian Telephone Industries (ITI) and Hindustan Steel Limited (HSL) were also affected. India's global trade share was

below 1% in 1990. Indian products were rendered uncompetitive in world markets by high tariffs (200%) and import quotas (Peterson Institute for International Economics, [2025](#)). The trade deficit widened as India imported more than it exported, exacerbating the balance of payments crisis.

The 1991 Economic Reforms:

Economic Reforms (1991)

During the period 1990 – 2000, India's economic model turned unsustainable because of the domestic inefficiencies, fiscal mismanagement, external pressures, and crisis (such as the Balance of Payments crisis of 1991). The need for economic reforms compelled the nation to resort to economic reforms. Hindustan Steel, Air India, and Indian Railways were on the verge of destruction. India experienced a decline in foreign exchange reserves to less than \$1.2 billion during mid-1991 because of a widening trade deficit and escalating import bills (Krishnaswamy & Kanagasabapathy, [2024](#)). India left no other option except to promise 67 tons of gold to the Union Bank of Switzerland and the Bank of England as collateral to gain loans amounting to \$2.2 billion (Vikraman, 2017). Meanwhile, the IMF demanded to overcome the causes of the high fiscal deficit of 8.4% of GDP in 1990, which included structural reforms in wasteful revenue generation, the government's public expenditure, trade liberalization, subsidies, and bailouts etc. (Cerra & Saxena, [2000](#)).

Political leaders were compelled to change the economic strategy of India by the 1991 crisis. Led by Prime Minister P.V. Narasimha Rao and Finance Minister Dr. Manmohan Singh, India chose to adopt economic liberalization. Dr. Manmohan Singh, a renowned economist, led a series of economic reforms to liberalize the economy. The government repealed trade restrictions, lowered tariffs, promoted foreign investment, and privatized loss-making public sector units. These reforms, which are referred to in their entirety as the Liberalization, Privatization, and Globalization (LPG) policy, were the starting point of India's economic revolution (Singh, [2024](#)). The economic crisis prior to 1991 had no alternative but to make India reform its economic policies. The BOP crisis, high fiscal deficit, slowing industrial growth, trade controls, inflation, and external pressures necessitated immediate structural adjustments (Walia & Mishra, 2024). The

economic liberalization of 1991 was not an option but a compulsion to avoid economic meltdown and put India on the path of long-term growth and integration with the world (Ghosh, [2022](#)).

Major Policy Changes Under Economic Reforms

The economic reforms in 1991 brought Liberalization, Privatization, and Globalization (LPG) policies. India aimed to emerge as an integrated and competitive global economy. Liberalization enabled market forces to decrease bureaucratic controls by determining economic choices. The government decreased its intervention in the processes of production, pricing, and distribution of basic commodities and industries. Moreover, the reforms increased the independence of banking systems so they could fix interest rates (NCERT, [2025](#)).

The ban on Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) was lifted, which allowed foreign investors to open banks (HSBC, Citibank, and Standard Chartered) in India. These foreign banks widened their presence in India to enhance financial services and bring in world-class bank standards. For inviting foreign institutional funding and overseeing share market operations, the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) was made powerful. The taxes on importing items were decreased from 200% in 1990 to 25% which made imports cheaper. In the post-liberalization period, international firms such as Dell, HP, and Apple gained access to the Indian market to enhance technology availability (NCERT, [2025](#)).

Privatization aimed to introduce private sector competition for reducing the burden on the exchequer of loss-making public sector enterprises (PSEs). The Government of India privatized Maruti Udyog, Mahanagar Telephone Nigam Limited (MTNL), Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited (BSNL), Videsh Sanchar Nigam Limited (VSNL), and Bharat Aluminium Company (BALCO). It resulted in improved delivery of services and greater efficiency. The technology sectors like telecommunications, power, aviation, and banking industries were opened to private competition. The rise of Vodafone, Airtel, and Reliance increased the level of competition following privatization (NIPFP, [2022](#)).

Globalization aimed to render India competitive through enhanced foreign investment, trade, and

the incorporation of worldwide best practices. FDI Caps Were Increased: Foreign firms could invest 100% in some sectors (like IT and infrastructure). Previously, government clearance was needed for all foreign investment. The new policies permitted FDI in most sectors without clearance. Honda, Ford, and Hyundai established manufacturing facilities in India, increasing employment generation and technology transfer. Previously, MNCs were subject to stringent regulations, restricting their activities. In post-liberalization, foreign companies such as Infosys, Wipro, TCS, Coca-Cola, and Pepsi were permitted to establish fully owned subsidiaries. India's trade policies and its adaptations to global practices led India to become a partner of the World Trade Organization (WTO) in 1995. This new partnership enabled India's pharmaceutical sector to grow by exporting drugs worldwide. In this respect, WTO-backed medical companies such as Sun Pharma, Cipla, and Dr. Reddy's were amongst the leading companies (Indian Pharmaceuticals Industry in Global Scenario: An Appraisal, 2020).

Impact on Macroeconomic Indicators

Indian economic liberalization, which started in 1991, substantially impacted major macroeconomic variables like GDP growth, inflation, and foreign investment flows. The changes produced consistent economic growth, higher fiscal prudence, and a more integrated relationship with the rest of the world, transforming the growth path of India.

GDP Growth and Structural Changes in the Economy

India's economic liberalization was a turning point in its GDP trajectory in 1991. India's GDP growth had averaged 3-4% annually before liberalization, referred to as the "Hindu rate of growth" (Reserve Bank of India, 2021). The economy saw a dramatic lift in the post-liberalization period, with GDP growth rates touching 6-8% to 9% for 2000-2004 and 2005-2007, respectively (Economic Liberalization in India, 2025). One of the finest instances is the boom in the services sector, which burst forth with industries becoming deregulated and embracing technology-based models. The IT industry's growth, with Infosys and TCS as industry giants, exemplifies this transformation (Information Technology in India, 2025). In addition, structural changes in the Indian economy were observed with

the receding percentage of agriculture in GDP and increasing prominence of industry and services.

Agriculture accounted for about 29% of GDP in 1991, but since then, it has gone down to below 17% in 2020, whereas services crossed 50% (IndexMundi, 2020). Such augmentation in sectors like telecommunication, financial services, and real estate reflects the way economic reforms tilted the economy towards higher value-added activity. The growth of Special Economic Zones (SEZs) and start-ups in fintech, as well as edtech, similarly highlights this reorientation in structure driven by policy.

Inflation, Fiscal Deficit, and Monetary Policies

Post-liberalization, India had its issues with inflation, some of which it was able to solve through several rounds of talks at the WTO. At first, the devaluation of the rupee and the elimination of subsidies caused a price rise. Then, a well-thought-out plan of stabilizing the economy through fiscal policy and kept inflation in check (2021-2025). For instance, in 1991, the inflation was as high as 13% while by the end of the 1990s, it had plummeted to less than 5% (Ramaswami et al, 2011). Following the adoption of a policy of targeting inflation, the Reserve Bank of India continued with it, inter alia, with the most recent being the one in 2016 by the MPC to have a 4% inflation goal ($\pm 2\%$) (Aghion et al., 2008).

Liberalization of the economy, besides taking care of inflation, dealt with the fiscal deficit, at that time being 8.4% of GDP throughout 1990-91 (Mitra, 2013). The government, therefore, had to take steps and bring in the Fiscal Responsibility and Budget Management (FRBM) Act, 2003, to streamline the deficit and get to the desired macro stabilization (Banerjee, 2024). Later, the increase in GST and PSU disinvestment helped to eliminate the fiscal deficit. Additionally, integrated tax payment after the GST application, public disinvestment, and the deregulation of interest rates within the sector also aided in monetary policy, which relatively led to the development of the financial market, making the transmission of the RBI policies easier.

Trade Liberalization and Foreign Direct Investment (FDI)

One of the most significant impacts of trade liberalization on the Indian economy was its role in

the integration of the country into the world economy. The reduction of the average import tariff rate from over 80% in 1991 to below 10% in the most recent period made the local market more receptive to global competition, and as a result, the business of innovation (Biljic et al., 2014). This affected not only the consumers who were able to buy better quality products at more reasonable prices but also the Indian industries that were forced to adopt better technologies and methods. In one case, the Indian automobile industry, with the lead role of Tata Motors and Maruti Suzuki, spearheaded its global competitiveness through learning and utilizing international standards as well as exploring the global markets. India experienced a remarkable increase in FDI links following the liberalization of the economy. In 1991, the country received only \$74 million in FDI, but in 2021-22 money has been estimated to be more than \$80 billion annually (Stimson Center, 2023). The main beneficiaries were the telecom, retail, and manufacturing sectors. The FDI-friendly "Make in India" initiative continued to attract investment with its deregulation and incentives. Some examples include Walmart, which took over Flipkart, and Apple, which built its own production centers in India.

Sectoral Analysis of Economic Liberalization

The process of the recognition of economic liberalization in India that started in 1991 was a turning point in the change from a command and inward-looking economy to a market-driven and globally connected economy. The change was not uniform across different industries, as some benefited from the growth and some had to transform their business.

Industrial Growth and Manufacturing Sector

Post-liberalization has led to a great deal of change in the industrial economy. Removal of the License Raj system, de-industrialization of the policies, and liberalization of foreign direct investment (FDI) norms attracted the private sector and foreign collaboration to the industry. Companies such as Maruti Suzuki (the joint venture partner of Suzuki of Japan), Hyundai Motors, and Tata Motors thrived. The industrial sector was made up of a variety of enterprises, competitive enterprises, and its

production capabilities were enhanced. Infrastructure development was also one of the fruits of high-speed connectivity, like the industrial corridors such as the Delhi-Mumbai Industrial Corridor (Bosworth et al., 2006). Nevertheless, the inflexibility of the labor market, the non-transfer of technology to most Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs), and the absence of infrastructure in certain areas caused problems. While large-scale manufacturers expanded, small producers were unable to compete with the imported products, especially those from China. Yet, the industry's transformation after liberalization, through the introduction of new technology and manufacturing processes, was a great boost to the Indian economy. Likewise, the "Make in India" initiative of the 2010s leveraged that liberalization to drive investment in manufacturing.

The Service Sector Boom and IT Industry Expansion

Abolition of the export barriers facilitated the entry of high technology companies, particularly in the IT and ITES sectors, which exploded after the barrier was removed. Due to the liberalization of the software sector and the government's focus on software exports, companies in this industry were able to grow at an unprecedented rate. India, specifically Bengaluru, Hyderabad, and Pune, emerged as IT hubs of international standards. There were companies like Infosys, TCS, Wipro, and HCL Technologies working in the rapidly growing software development, consulting, and business process outsourcing sectors, serving clients all over the world (Mint, 2023). The consequential significant growth of the telecom sector, which was backed by the very liberal FDI policies, introduced the new communication and digital infrastructure sector. A sizeable Internet and mobile phone subscriber base meant a higher acceptance rate for services like e-commerce, mobile banking, and e-learning. IT became the most important industry in driving the Indian workforce and GDP towards high-skilled employment. Following NASSCOM, the annual revenue of the Indian IT sector had reached \$200 billion, contributing to the employment of 5 million people. This growth was crucial for India to transition to a knowledge-based economy during the post-liberalization period (Singh, 2024).

Agricultural Sector and Rural Development

While industrial and service sectors experienced fast and continued progress, the agricultural sector did not have the same benefits from the policy of liberalization; the progress was steady, slow, and uneven due to many reasons. Though the improvement of efficiency was the goal of subsidy cuts, export liberalization, and input market deregulation, it should be noted that where government support was removed from some areas, market reforms were not introduced simultaneously to the same extent, which led to a crisis for small farmers (NCERT, [2025](#)). As a specific illustration, the uncertainty of the world market prices had a direct impact on the unsettled income of Indian agricultural producers. Such energy as the liberalized agro-processing that improved crops value chains, e.g., sugarcane, fruits, and dairy. The Amul and ITC's e-Choupal companies thus motivated farmers by providing market access and remunerative prices through electronic platforms (Ministry of Information and Broadcasting, [2024](#)). The credit for the improvements in rural development should also be shared with programs like PMGSY (rural roads) and MNREGA (guarantee of employment), which are driven by the growing fiscal space derived from the liberalization-led growth. Nevertheless, there are reforms in agriculture that have not been implemented, and now, there is a shortage of a more far-reaching structural reform required for sustainable and all-embracing growth (Sharma, [2021](#)).

Financial Sector Reforms and Banking Liberalization

The other fundamental aspect of liberalizing the economy in India involved the reform of the financial sector. The recommendations from the Narasimham Committee led to the recapitalization of the public sector banks, the methodical reduction of statutory pre-emptions (e.g., CRR and SLR), and the setting of prudential norms. New banks in the private sector, such as HDFC Bank, ICICI Bank, and Axis Bank, were established and quickly took over the market, driven by the strategies of digital technology services and customer-centric services (NIPFP, [2022](#)). This also became possible through the major changes in the capital market that were affected by the creation of SEBI and the upgrade of

stock exchanges such as NSE and BSE (Chakraborty, [2020](#)).

Furthermore, there was a rise in the growth of the secondary market in the capital field in India. Apart from this, foreign institutional investment (FII) was permitted, which brought capital and global best practices to the forefront. Financial inclusion schemes, such as Pradhan Mantri Jan Dhan Yojana (PMJDY), were later introduced through the liberalized financial system, and they were successful in bringing banking to rural and underprivileged areas (Columbia University, [2019](#)). Subsequently, the growth of the mutual fund segment, deregulation of the insurance industry, which led to its privatization (with industry heavyweights such as LIC competing with ICICI Prudential and HDFC Life), and the expansion of NBFCs were the other developments that were attributable to these initial reforms (Patra, [2024](#)). Despite the problems such as Non-Performing Assets (NPAs) and regulations that continue to hound the industry, liberalization, over the years, has brought about a great modernization and development in India's financial market.

Socio-Economic Implications

Ever since the economic reforms in 1991, India has been on a radically different road to economic growth, which has manifested in many aspects of the country. First and foremost, the process of deregulation, privatization, and globalization policies aimed at liberalization brought huge changes not only in the realms of employment and income but also in human development. Economic liberalization primarily assured that there would be a boost in the number of jobs due to the growth of the industry and the arrival of more and more foreign investors. The period after 1991 saw the rise of the IT and telecom industries, which, among others, were led by Infosys and Wipro services, thereby triggering a service-sector explosion and thus the creation of millions of white-collar jobs. However, labor market reforms were only successful in parts, and the rest of the regions had their growth momentum stalled. The case in point here is that the textile exports of Tamil Nadu created a great number of jobs for women. But the sector that employs 80% of the Indian workforce is the informal sector (Gupta, [2023](#)).

The income gap was enlarged by the liberalization process between the poor and rich, when the benefits of growth were transferred to the urban and already developed areas. The major centers of wealth, such as the cities of Bangalore, Hyderabad, and Mumbai, were now the centers of wealth, while Bihar and Odisha, on the other hand, continued to be in the tail position. Citing the World Inequality Database, the study showed that the top 10% of India's wealthiest both owned and took in more than 57% of the nation's income in 2020, which is far from Socialism's era back in the early 1990s (Reserve Bank of India, [2021](#)). The southern and coastal states registered a sharp rise in both infrastructure and service sectors, while interior and backward states still had to cope with low infrastructure connectivity and investment. From the above points, one will find out that such disparities resulted in internal migration, thereby exerting pressure on cities and leading to a widening gap between urban and rural areas.

The positive aspect of the situation is that after the start of the deregulation process, economic progress helped in the extreme decrease of poverty. Such an important change that took place caused the poverty rate of the country to drop from 45% in 1993–94 to 22% in 2011–12 (based on the Tendulkar Committee) (Mehta, [2022](#)). The social security system, like MGNREGA (2005) and subsidization of the poor's consumption needs, and, therefore, the inexpensive health care programs uplifted the people's standards of living. Besides, education was accessible through various initiatives like Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan, and health was improved with the National Health Mission. Still, the HDI shows that the human development indicators remain lopsided, for instance, malnutrition, low female literacy in a few areas, and out-of-pocket health costs persist as problems. On the one hand, better public service systems in states such as Kerala have contributed to higher HDI; on the other hand, the low growth rate of Uttar Pradesh and Madhya Pradesh is a sign of the limited impact of their high economic growth achieved on human development ("Economic Liberalization in India," [2025](#)).

Challenges and Developments of Economic Liberalization

The Indian economy's liberalization in 1991 was a watershed moment that ushered in a period of rapid

growth, foreign investment, and global economic integration for two decades. However, despite its benefits to GDP and industrial output, liberalization has been subject to criticism and has also revealed long-term structural problems. The following are the impressions of the critic about liberalization using specific indicators: The expansion of liberalization not only led to an increase in economic growth, but also to the rise in the income and wealth levels of the people. Post-1991, India witnessed a surge in the IT, telecom, and finance sectors that created billionaires and a booming urban middle class (Gulaliyev et al., [2016](#)). On the contrary, the rural communities, informal sector workers, and small farmers were mostly left behind in this spectacular growth. According to the Oxfam India report (2022), the richest 10% of Indians own over 77% of the national wealth (Ghosh, [2022](#)). Companies like Reliance Industries and Adani Group prospered, while millions were jobless or poor. The pay gap between urban skilled professionals and rural farm workers increased, showing that liberalization heavily favored the former at the expense of the latter. This clearly illustrates how liberalization has been unfairly distributed and has not been beneficial to everyone.

Extreme environmental pollution was the result of mass industrialization and deregulation induced through liberalization. The again of India to foreign capital caused environmental deterioration due to the influx of mining, construction, and manufacturing activities, the ecological effects of which were not cared for most of the period. For instance, the forest department in Odisha ridiculed Vedanta's Odisha mines for forest cover removal and the displacement of forest dwellers (Krishnaswamy & Kanagasabapathy, [2024](#)). Also, the National Green Tribunal has censured, to name just a few, thermal power plants of Jharkhand or Gujarat for uncontrolled pollution. The issue of air pollution in cities hit an all-time low, as in the recurring smog crisis in Delhi. The gains that led to the loss were water, greenery, and wildlife, and thus the certainty that India's liberalized model of development is ecologically sustainable trumped the concerns of the upbeat profits from all industrial activities (Irwin, [2025](#)).

While liberalization was responsible for reducing the government's direct control over the industries, this retreat also uncovered the flaws of

regulatory control. With the end of the License Raj, the areas of telecom, aviation, and real estate expanded rapidly and not always in good ways. In the 2G spectrum scandal and the Kingfisher Airlines disaster, regulatory bodies failed to prevent corruption and mismanagement, and the most blatant cases unfolded (KPMG, [2024](#)). While the government evolved from a "controlling" to a "facilitating" role, it was most regulatory institutions that did not see themselves operating with autonomy and capacity to ensure the regulation of the several sectors that were doing well. IL&FS was a major infrastructure financier whose failure in 2018 brought to light how the financial regulatory system was weak. One concludes behind this that the implementation of a well-balanced system is very necessary, where not only the liberalization process comprises strong governance and institutional resilience, but vice versa.

Prospects and Policy Recommendations

The massive changes in the Indian economy from a command to market type, through the 1991 reforms, most certainly have been a consequence of the transition liberalization has brought. Despite sparking off high and solid improvements in GDP growth, exports, and private investments, the long-term achievement of such developments would be only real if sound, impeccable governance and policy changes towards ensuring inclusive growth, sustainable development, and technology adaptation are taking place.

For India to reap the full benefits of liberalization, it is essential that it pursues the policy of inclusive growth through which it attempts to narrow the urban-rural disparity and uplift the marginalized groups. Focused financial injections into basic education, rural health facilities, and training programs such as the Pradhan Mantri Kaushal Vikas Yojana can make the workforce so able and reduce the gap between the rich and the poor." Thus, so far, the MGNREGA program has been one of the best ways of rural employment security, but besides this, the extension, combined with the financial inclusion of Jan Dhan Yojana and Direct Benefit Transfers (DBTs), will be the best means of ensuring that the economic growth is going to trickle down to the poorest of the poor.

India's online economy has become the main driver of growth post-liberalization, offering

changes to the way of work in one industry after another. The Digital India and UPI have made service delivery and financial transactions a complete hub and aligned by allowing millions to have their bank and e-commerce platforms. The IT and software services industry, which started to take a global form reflected in companies such as Infosys and TCS, has now become a significant competitor with billions of export earnings. As India directs more resources toward 5G, artificial intelligence, and digital public infrastructure (such as Aadhaar), policy assistance should ensure that digital literacy, data privacy, and technology access are not unequal across the region.

Given the fact that the economic situation has been liberalized, it is essential that the policy to sustain it should be included in economic planning. The recent environmental degradation in India, which is caused by industrialization, has led to a changed course of policies, which are now climate-resilient and at the top of the agenda. One of the eight programs under the National Action Plan on Climate Change (NAPCC) is the National Solar Mission, which indicates India's progress towards a clean energy ecosystem. For the country to achieve the target of becoming carbon neutral by 2070, India must increase investments in renewable energy, promote electric vehicles through various schemes such as FAME II, and tighten pollution control regulations. The adoption of sustainable practices in urban development, agriculture, and industry is a must factor towards being future-ready and resilient to a sustainable economy (Kumar, S, & Kalia, [2025](#)).

Conclusion

The economic liberalization of 1991 was truly a landmark event in India's economic history. This resume not only highlights the major discoveries, emphasizes the consequences for policy and governance, but also points out the ways for future research, based on the post-liberalization growth of India. Its economic liberalization of 1991 initiated a revolutionary change across sectors. Deconstruction of Licence Raj, reduction in tariffs, rupee devaluation, and foreign direct investment openness all facilitated GDP growth, export diversification, and industrial expansion. To illustrate, the Indian IT industry—led by industry giants such as Infosys and TCS—became global leaders because of an open, competitive environment. Likewise, the

telecommunications revolution, with liberal policies as the driving force, resulted in the rise of mobile penetration from below 1% in the early 1990s to more than 90% in 2020.

Furthermore, the liberalization of the economy contributed significantly to the reduction of poverty and the increase in the urban population. Due to the policy of the government implemented from 1993 to 2011, the headcount poverty ratio was reduced from 45% to 22%. Not only the mega-cities like Bengaluru, Hyderabad, and Pune, but also their surrounding sectors were revolutionized by the foreign and domestic forces. However, some people were left out in the reduction of poverty. While the cities were growing at a skyrocketing speed, the rural and backward areas, especially in states such as Bihar and Odisha, were left far behind. Consequently, the liberalization of the economy was a genuine counterpoint to growth, yet inequality was generated, and this upholds the need for more inclusive policy machinery.

Liberalization empowered industries such as aviation and autos, and poor public health infrastructure was emphasized agonizingly in the COVID-19 pandemic, rooted in vulnerabilities. Thus, governance must adapt to have strong regulatory bodies and safety nets for those who are displaced or left behind by changing economic

drivers. In addition, liberalization has made the role of transparency, accountability, and institutional integrity in governance even more critical. The liberal economic climate requires quicker decision-making and nimble regulatory control. Subsequent research needs to investigate the regional disparities created or worsened by liberalization. Comparative state studies of successfully gaining states (such as Gujarat and Maharashtra) and falling behind states (such as Jharkhand and Chhattisgarh) might shed light on the dynamics of state-level governance and national policy schemes. Also, longitudinal research studying the long-term effects of liberalization on informal sectors and labor markets would be important, particularly with the emergence of the gig economy and persistent youth unemployment.

There is also a necessity to examine the ecological implications of liberalized growth. Studies must evaluate how industrial growth and urban sprawl have affected environmental equilibrium, especially in coastal areas and forest cover. Further, analyzing the performance of India's economic policies in a global context—its performance in the WTO regime, or its relative path with China and Vietnam—can provide useful insights. Lastly, the contribution of fintech and digital liberalization towards inclusive growth is an exciting research area to be explored empirically.

References

- Acharya, S. (2001). India: Crisis, reforms, and growth in the nineties. In A. Krueger (Ed.), *Economic policy reforms and the Indian economy* (pp. 1–26). University of Chicago Press. https://kingcenter.stanford.edu/sites/g/files/sbiybj/6611/files/media/file/139wp_o.pdf
[Google Scholar](#) [Worldcat](#) [Fulltext](#)
- Aghion, P., Burgess, R., Redding, S. J., & Zilibotti, F. (2008). The unequal effects of liberalization: Evidence from dismantling the License Raj in India. *American Economic Review*, 98(4), 1397–1412. <https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.98.4.1397>
[Google Scholar](#) [Worldcat](#) [Fulltext](#)
- Banerjee, R. (2024). *Foreign investment and economic development in India*. Economic Press.
[Google Scholar](#) [Worldcat](#) [Fulltext](#)
- Bilic, A., Hurnyak, R., Pankaj, S., Swenson, J., & Zago, H. (2014). *Economic growth analysis of India*. Stern School of Business, New York University. https://www.stern.nyu.edu/sites/default/files/asset_s/documents/con_047353.pdf
[Google Scholar](#) [Worldcat](#) [Fulltext](#)
- Bosworth, B., Collins, S. M., & Virmani, A. (2007). Sources of growth in the Indian economy. *India Policy Forum*, 3(1), 1–50. <https://www.nber.org/papers/w12901>
[Google Scholar](#) [Worldcat](#) [Fulltext](#)
- Cerra, V., & Saxena, S. C. (2002). What caused the 1991 currency crisis in India? *IMF Staff Papers*, 49(3), 395–425. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3872503>
[Google Scholar](#) [Worldcat](#) [Fulltext](#)
- Chakraborty, P. (2020). Indian Pharmaceuticals industry in the Global Scenario: An appraisal. *Journal of Health Management*, 22(3), 424–429. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0972063420937939>
[Google Scholar](#) [Worldcat](#) [Fulltext](#)
- Columbia University. (2019). *A new era of growth: Reforms to revitalize the Indian economy*. [https://csd.columbia.edu/sites/default/files/content/docs/ICT%20India/Papers/ICT India Working Paper 26.pdf](https://csd.columbia.edu/sites/default/files/content/docs/ICT%20India/Papers/ICT%20India%20Working%20Paper%2026.pdf)
[Google Scholar](#) [Worldcat](#) [Fulltext](#)
- Economic liberalisation in India. (2025). In *Wikipedia*. [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Economic liberalisation in India](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Economic_liberalisation_in_India)
[Google Scholar](#) [Worldcat](#) [Fulltext](#)
- Ghosh, J. (2022, November 15). The monetary tightening trap. *Project Syndicate*. <https://www.project-syndicate.org/commentary/central-bank-monetary-tightening-trap-by-jayati-ghosh-2022-11>
[Google Scholar](#) [Worldcat](#) [Fulltext](#)
- Gulaliyev, M. G., Ok, N. I., Musayeva, F. Q., Efendiye, R. J., Musayeva, J. Q., & Agayeva, S. R. (2016). Economic liberalization and its impact on human development: A comparative analysis of Turkey and Azerbaijan. *International Journal of Environmental & Science Education*, 11(18), 9753–9771. <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ119056.pdf>
[Google Scholar](#) [Worldcat](#) [Fulltext](#)
- Gupta, S. (2023). *Import substitution and industrial policy in India*. Industrial Policy Publications.
[Google Scholar](#) [Worldcat](#) [Fulltext](#)
- Holt-Giménez, E., & Patel, R. (2009). *Food rebellions! Crisis and the hunger for justice*. Pambazuka Press.
[Google Scholar](#) [Worldcat](#) [Fulltext](#)
- IndexMundi. (2020). *India - Agriculture, value added (% of GDP)*. <https://www.indexmundi.com/facts/india/indicator/NV.AGR.TOTL.ZS>
[Google Scholar](#) [Worldcat](#) [Fulltext](#)
- Information technology in India. (2025). In *Wikipedia*. [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Information technology in India](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Information_technology_in_India)
[Google Scholar](#) [Worldcat](#) [Fulltext](#)
- Irwin, D. A. (2025). *Dismantling the license raj: The long road to India's 1991 trade reforms*. Peterson Institute for International Economics. <https://www.piie.com/publications/working-papers/2025/dismantling-license-raj-long-road-indias-1991-trade-reforms>
[Google Scholar](#) [Worldcat](#) [Fulltext](#)
- Joshi, V., & Little, I. M. D. (1994). *India: Macroeconomics and political economy, 1964–1991*. World Bank Publications. <https://books.google.com/books?id=IysKnWTBf4MC>
[Google Scholar](#) [Worldcat](#) [Fulltext](#)
- KPMG. (2024). *Decoding India's economic growth*. <https://assets.kpmg.com/content/dam/kpmgsites/in/pdf/2024/05/decoding-indias-economic-growth.pdf>
[Google Scholar](#) [Worldcat](#) [Fulltext](#)
- Krishnaswamy, K., & Kanagasabapathy, K. (2024). The effects of India's 1991 balance of payments crisis. *Intersect: The Stanford Journal of Science, Technology, and Society*, 17(1), 45–58. <https://ojs.stanford.edu/ojs/index.php/intersect/article/view/3023>
[Google Scholar](#) [Worldcat](#) [Fulltext](#)

- Kumar, S., S. G., & Kalia, S. (2025). *India: World's fastest-growing major economy*. Ministry of Information & Broadcasting.
<https://static.pib.gov.in/WriteReadData/specificdocuments/2025/jan/doc2025118487001.pdf>
[Google Scholar](#) [Worldcat](#) [Fulltext](#)
- Mehta, A. (2022). *The License Raj: Bureaucracy and economic policy in India*. Governance and Economy Books.
[Google Scholar](#) [Worldcat](#) [Fulltext](#)
- Ministry of Information and Broadcasting. (2024). *India's exceptional growth trajectory: A multi-sectoral overview*.
<https://static.pib.gov.in/WriteReadData/specificdocuments/2024/sep/doc2024927403201.pdf>
[Google Scholar](#) [Worldcat](#) [Fulltext](#)
- Mint. (2023). The history behind 'Hindu rate of growth', in charts. *Mint*.
<https://www.livemint.com/economy/the-history-behind-hindu-rate-of-growth-in-charts-11678370005198.html>
[Google Scholar](#) [Worldcat](#) [Fulltext](#)
- Mitra, S. (2013). The Indian growth trajectory. *Yojana*, 57, 61–63.
[Google Scholar](#) [Worldcat](#) [Fulltext](#)
- NCERT. (2025). *Indian economic development (Reprint 2025)*. <https://ncert.nic.in/textbook/pdf/keec103.pdf>
[Google Scholar](#) [Worldcat](#) [Fulltext](#)
- NIPFP. (2022). *History of disinvestment in India: 1991–2020*.
https://www.nipfp.org.in/media/medialibrary/2022/03/WP_373_2022.pdf
[Google Scholar](#) [Worldcat](#) [Fulltext](#)
- Panagariya, A. (2004). India in the 1980s and 1990s: A triumph of reforms. *IMF Working Paper*, WP/04/43.
[Google Scholar](#) [Worldcat](#) [Fulltext](#)
- Patra, M. D. (2024). *The Indian economy: Opportunities and challenges*. In Nomura's 40th Central Bankers Seminar. <https://www.bis.org/review/r240404j.pdf>
[Google Scholar](#) [Worldcat](#) [Fulltext](#)
- Peterson Institute for International Economics. (2025). *Dismantling the license raj: The long road to India's 1991 trade reforms*.
<https://www.piie.com/publications/working-papers/2025/dismantling-license-raj-long-road-indias-1991-trade-reforms>
[Google Scholar](#) [Worldcat](#) [Fulltext](#)
- Ramaswami, B., Kotwal, A., & Wadhwa, W. (2011). Economic liberalization and Indian economic growth: What's the evidence? *Journal of Economic Literature*, 49(4), 1152–1199.
<https://doi.org/10.1257/jel.49.4.1152>
[Google Scholar](#) [Worldcat](#) [Fulltext](#)
- Reserve Bank of India. (2021). *Annual report 2020–21*.
<https://www.rbi.org.in/Scripts/AnnualReportPublications.aspx>
[Google Scholar](#) [Worldcat](#) [Fulltext](#)
- Sharma, R. (2021). *India's economic planning: A historical perspective*. National Economic Publishing.
[Google Scholar](#) [Worldcat](#) [Fulltext](#)
- Singh, M. (2024). *India's economic reforms: A retrospective*. Oxford University Press.
[Google Scholar](#) [Worldcat](#) [Fulltext](#)
- Stimson Center. (2023). *The IMF's role in shaping India's current economic outlook*.
<https://www.stimson.org/2023/the-imfs-role-in-shaping-indias-current-economic-outlook>
[Google Scholar](#) [Worldcat](#) [Fulltext](#)