www.glrjournal.com

**GLR**
GLOBAL LANGUAGE REVIEW
*liaisoning humanity*

# GLR

# GLOBAL LANGUAGE REVIEW

## VOL. IX, ISSUE II, SPRING (JUNE-2024)

Humanity Publications
*sharing research*
www.humapub.com
US | UK | Pakistan

**Double-blind Peer-review Research Journal**
www.glrjournal.com
© GLOBAL LANGUAGE REVIEW

## Article Title
## Feminist Revisions of Myth in Contemporary Literature

## Abstract

*The article analyzes feminist rewriting of myth in modern literature, especially the reappropriation, re-reading, and destabilization of myths by women authors who dismantle patriarchal structures through illusory feminist incorporation of them. Myths, traditionally deployed to support gender hierarchies, are now re-conceived to bring female agency, female voice, and female subjectivity to the fore. The analysis of texts based on the feminist theories of literature and critique of mythology looks into The Penelopiad by Margaret Atwood, Circe by Madeline Miller, The Palace of Illusion by Chitra Banerjee Divakaruni, and The World Wife by Carol Ann Duffy. By re-voicing, inversion, and deconstruction of literary devices, such texts resist hegemonic cultural discourses and rebuild feminisms, remodeling myth-grounded traditions. The article contends that such revisions do not merely constitute restructuring of literary canon, but also form part of the larger discussions regarding identity, power, and representation in the coming century.*

**Authors:**

**Kainat Taj:**(Corresponding Author)
Undergraduate, Department of English (Literature), Women University, Mardan, KP, Pakistan.
(Email: Kainattaj5@gmail.com)

**Kainat Janat:** Undergraduate, Department of English (Literature), Women University, Mardan, KP, Pakistan.

**Sanam Tariq:** Undergraduate, Department of English (Literature), Women University, Mardan, KP, Pakistan.

## Citing this Article

| 01 | **Feminist Revisions of Myth in Contemporary Literature** | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | **Author** | Kainat Taj<br>Kainat Janat<br>Sanam Tariq | **DOI** | 10.31703/glr.2024(IX-II).01 | | |
| **Pages** | 1-11 | **Year** 2024 | **Volume** IX | **Issue** | | II |
| **Referencing & Citing Styles** | **APA 7th** | Taj, K., Janat, K., & Tariq, S. (2024). Feminist Revisions of Myth in Contemporary Literature. *Global Language Review*, *IX*(II), 1-11. https://doi.org/10.31703/glr.2024(IX-II).01 | | | | |
| | **CHICAGO** | Taj, Kainat, Kainat Janat, and Sanam Tariq. 2024. "Feminist Revisions of Myth in Contemporary Literature." *Global Language Review* IX (II):1-11. doi: 10.31703/glr.2024(IX-II).01. | | | | |
| | **HARVARD** | TAJ, K., JANAT, K. & TARIQ, S. 2024. Feminist Revisions of Myth in Contemporary Literature. *Global Language Review,* IX, 1-11. | | | | |
| | **MHRA** | Taj, Kainat, Kainat Janat, and Sanam Tariq. 2024. 'Feminist Revisions of Myth in Contemporary Literature', *Global Language Review*, IX: 1-11. | | | | |
| | **MLA** | Taj, Kainat, Kainat Janat, and Sanam Tariq. "Feminist Revisions of Myth in Contemporary Literature." *Global Language Review* IX.II (2024): 1-11. Print. | | | | |
| | **OXFORD** | Taj, Kainat, Janat, Kainat, and Tariq, Sanam (2024), 'Feminist Revisions of Myth in Contemporary Literature', *Global Language Review,* IX (II), 1-11. | | | | |
| | **TURABIAN** | Taj, Kainat, Kainat Janat, and Sanam Tariq. "Feminist Revisions of Myth in Contemporary Literature." *Global Language Review* IX, no. II (2024): 1-11. https://dx.doi.org/10.31703/glr.2024(IX-II).01. | | | | |

## Title

# Feminist Revisions of Myth in Contemporary Literature

## Abstract

*The article analyzes feminist rewriting of myth in modern literature, especially the reappropriation, re-reading, and destabilization of myths by women authors who dismantle patriarchal structures through illusory feminist incorporation of them. Myths, traditionally deployed to support gender hierarchies, are now re-conceived to bring female agency, female voice, and female subjectivity to the fore. The analysis of texts based on the feminist theories of literature and critique of mythology looks into The Penelopiad by Margaret Atwood, Circe by Madeline Miller, The Palace of Illusion by Chitra Banerjee Divakaruni, and The World Wife by Carol Ann Duffy. By re-voicing, inversion, and deconstruction of literary devices, such texts resist hegemonic cultural discourses and rebuild feminisms, remodeling myth-grounded traditions. The article contends that such revisions do not merely constitute restructuring of literary canon, but also form part of the larger discussions regarding identity, power, and representation in the coming century.*

## Authors:

**Kainat Taj:**(Corresponding Author)
  Undergraduate, Department of English (Literature), Women University, Mardan, KP, Pakistan.
  (Email: Kainattaj5@gmail.com)

**Kainat Janat:** Undergraduate, Department of English (Literature), Women University, Mardan, KP, Pakistan.

**Sanam Tariq:** Undergraduate, Department of English (Literature), Women University, Mardan, KP, Pakistan.

## Contents

- Introduction
- Research Objectives
- Research Questions
- Theoretical Framework
- Feminist Literary Theory
- Literature Review
- Gaps in Scholarship
- Methodology:
- Scope and Limitations
- Focus on Reader Reception
- Digital and Popular Culture Engagement
- Conclusion
- References

**Keywords:** Feminist Literature, Myth Revision, Contemporary Fiction, Women's Writing, Gender and Power

## Introduction

Myth has been a constitutive component in world literature, establishing a collective imagination, cultural customs and practices, as well as the social order. Myths recorded by Homer and other writers of the epics and various ancient Hindu texts have displayed archetypal stories that shape ideas on identity, morality, and gender roles. However,

patriarchal views have frequently been used in the conveyance of these mythologies, casting women as submissive characters- mothers, wives, temptresses, or goddesses whose role ends in relation to the male hero. The writers of feminism in modern literature have actually contested this dynamic as they have revisited and rewritten myths in a new light, bringing forth the female subjectivity, agency, and resistance to the forefront. This trend resonates with wider changes being made to feminist literary criticism, which questions not only the treatment of women in canonical works but also the gendered presumptions found in the tradition of narratives (Moi, 2002; Showalter, 1985).

There is reclamation and reinvention through feminist revisions of myth. This reclamation aims to release the muted voices of mythic feminine figures such as Penelope, Circe, Draupadi, or Medusa, which were in the past eclipsed by male heroes. Reinvention, however, reconfigures mythic structures as well, inverting conventional archetypes so as to undermine patriarchal authority. It is through this means of creating a feminist rewriting of myth that, according to Alicia Ostriker (1982), is not only a literary task but rather a cultural act in resisting specific cultural narratives, because of their personal experiences as women. Re-visionary myths thus place the female characters in a place of telling their own stories, not only disrupting centuries-old symbolic pairings of femininity with silence, submissiveness, or threat.

Modern literature is full of feminist myth revision. In The Penelopiad (2005), Margaret Atwood gives a version of Homer's Odyssey through the eyes of the main heroine Penelope, making visible the inner world of an epic figure relegated to the peripheries. Circe (2018), by Madeline Miller, does the opposite: the reader is introduced to the iconic evil witch of The Odyssey, but, rather than a menacing enchantress, a multi-faceted woman struggling with power, exile, and desire is depicted. Likewise, in Chitra Banerjee Divakaruni's The Palace of Illusions (2008), the Mahabharata, rewritten in the voice of Draupadi, recasts her in a more positive understanding, as a politically shrewd and emotionally stronger person. Carol Ann Duffy poetry The Worlds Wife (1999) re-voices women in mythology and history usually mute companions of illustrious men and lends them a trenchant witty, un-feminized voice, as when she gives Achilles himself to his Amazon girlfriend, or gives Joan of Arc to her mute cook het Grant or gives Heloise to the Abbot Archbishop Suger granting them a trenchant witty, un-feminized voice. These texts show how feminist authors can use myth both as a mode of criticism and as a source of creativity, exploring the way that gender operates as a hierarchy, as well as offering new depths to traditions in literature.

What is important about feminist myth revision is the fact that, as a literary innovation, it operates as a socio-cultural intervention. Writing or reinterpreting foundational myths, feminist writers not only enlarge the literary canon but also appropriate and interrupt contemporary cultural discourses of gender, identity, and power. Warner (1994) has observed that myths do not remain frozen in time but are folk stories that change as social orders change. By rewriting myths, feminist authors engage in the reconstruction of the memory mould, making it possible to visualize different models of subjectivity and relationships of different communities. Besides the above, these revisions address concerns in the global sphere: within a transnational literary context, the feminist re-telling of myths of various traditions poses a challenge to Eurocentric assumptions of universality and emphasizes the plurality of women's experiences across cultures (Spivak, 1990).

The objective of this article is to analyze the place of the feminist retelling of the myths in modern fiction, in terms of recapturing the dismissed voices, the deconstruction of the patriarchal heroes, and the reconstruction of myth elements and traditions to put women in the center of the story. Referencing feminist theory, myth criticism, and the study of cultural memory, the analysis contends that such literary intercessions serve not only as a critique of the family status quo regarding gender as it is imagined but as potential embodiments of imagined futures. The guiding research questions are: How do feminist writers use myth to challenge patriarchal structures? What strategies of revision and re-voicing are most prominent in contemporary texts? And what cultural significance do these rewritings hold in the twenty-first century?

By exploring these questions, the article situates feminist revisions of myth within the broader landscape of feminist literary production. It shows clearly that these works not only enrich the

traditions of literature but also act as cultural instruments that can reconsider gender, power, and identity. In doing so, they affirm literature's enduring capacity to reshape collective imagination and inspire new possibilities for social transformation.

## Research Objectives

In scholarly inquiry, research objectives serve as guiding principles that define the purpose and direction of the study. Within the context of this research, the objectives are designed to explore the ways in which contemporary feminist literature engages with myth to challenge entrenched gender ideologies. By articulating clear objectives, the study ensures a focused analysis that highlights both the theoretical and cultural significance of feminist revisions of myth.

1.  To analyze how contemporary feminist writers reinterpret classical and cultural myths to foreground female agency, subjectivity, and voice.
2.  To examine the literary strategies, such as re-voicing, inversion, and deconstruction, through which these writers challenge patriarchal structures and reconstruct alternative gendered narratives.

## Research Questions

Research questions provide the critical framework through which the study's objectives are operationalized. They translate broader aims into precise inquiries that can be systematically examined within the scope of feminist literary criticism. In this research, the questions are designed to investigate not only the representational shifts in mythic narratives but also the techniques through which feminist authors reconfigure inherited traditions.

1.  In what ways do feminist authors of contemporary literature revise mythological traditions to disrupt patriarchal representations of women?
2.  What literary and discursive strategies are employed by these authors to reimagine mythic narratives from a feminist perspective?

In the field of literary studies, myths have long functioned as cultural narratives that shape collective imagination and moral order. However, traditional myths often perpetuate patriarchal values, representing women as passive figures, temptresses, or victims whose voices remain marginalized. Scholars such as Marina Warner ([1994]) and Alicia Ostriker ([1986]) have emphasized that these mythic traditions naturalize gender hierarchies, embedding them within cultural memory and literature. Consequently, feminist writers have increasingly sought to reinterpret myth in ways that recover suppressed female perspectives and reshape cultural archetypes.

It proves that myth revision is not only a creative action but a political and cultural action as well, as observed in contemporary feminist literature. Revisiting characters like Medea, Circe, or Sita or Medusa, the authors present the counter-narrative that undermines patriarchal power, and a new vision of female capabilities emerges. As an example, Margaret Atwood, The Penelopiad (2005) restores the voice of women to the work of Penelope and reveals the perspective of the woman left off in the Odyssey by Homer. In a similar vein, Chitra Banerjee Divakaruni (2008), The Palace of Illusions re-envisions the Mahabharata in the voice of Draupadi, thus locating myth within feminist discourse as well as post-colonial discourse. Such interventions point to the fact that myth functions as a space of negotiation, and as such, vulnerable voices can disrupt established discourses of culture.

Although much literature on individual works that practice myth revision has been written, few scholars have addressed the issues of myth revision on a larger scale without recourse to such individual works and the more theoretical implications of feminist revision of myth in literature more generally. Some prior literature has tended to go either explicitly down the route of reinterpretation fidelity or the route of change of theme, but has not paid much attention to how these revisions operate as decisions about discursive strategies that amount to questionings of authority, reassertions of identity, and rebuilding cultural memory. This study fills that void by taking a closer look at feminist revisions of myth in terms of both a literary activity and a form of ideological counterstatement.

Therefore, the logic of the study is that it aims to fill the divide between literary theory, cultural analysis, and feminist criticism. Exploring through which means myths are retold in a variety of literary contexts, investigates how they are reformatted and

redefined by feminist writers, questioning traditions of literary canon, re-addressing suppressed voices, and suggesting new models of identity and agency. Finally, the study plays its part in the emergent debate on feminist intervention in literature, where myth revision plays an important role because of its significance as a locus of oppositional movement vis-à-vis patriarchal representation.

## Theoretical Framework

This work of ours uses a theoretical framework based on feminist criticism of literature as well as myth criticism, as these two theoretical frameworks are interconnected and shed light on the cultural and ideological meaning of the narrating myths. Whilst the idea of myth criticism is focused on the archetypal and structural aspects of myth, the feminist perspective of literary theory questions how such myths have been mutually supporting patriarchal principles throughout history, and how they have delegitimized the voices of women. Using such analytical concepts in tandem, the work studies how such accounts are being reclaimed and re-cast by contemporary authors in order to create alternative narratives of female identity, power, and agency.

## Feminist Literary Theory

This study offers feminist literary theory as the focal point of the research. Feminist critics have contested that because of what they consider to be the omnipresent presence of cultural forms of narration, such as myth, women have always been regarded as the Other, whose subjectivity is sidelined (Moi, 2002). Feminist theorists of the later generations, including H 916 pillene Cixous and Luce Irigaray, emphasised the necessity of feminine authorship and encouragement of women to write themselves into literature and destabilise phallocentric customs (Cixous, 1976). In the context of myth, feminist critics emphasize that myths often naturalize female passivity, domesticity, or punishment for transgression. The reclamation of myth in contemporary literature, therefore, becomes an act of literary resistance re-voicing silenced female figures and reshaping their symbolic roles.

Myth criticism, as developed by scholars such as Northrop Frye (1957) and Joseph Campbell (1949), situates myths as recurring archetypes that shape literary traditions and cultural consciousness.

However, while traditional myth criticism often celebrated universal archetypes, feminist critics such as Alicia Ostriker (1986) and Marina Warner (1994) interrogated how these archetypes are gendered, noting that women's roles in myth are frequently limited to the virgin, mother, or temptress. This study employs myth criticism to analyze how contemporary feminist authors both draw upon and subvert these mythic archetypes, using them as a foundation for revisionist storytelling.

Although feminist theory and myth criticism form the backbone of this study, it also acknowledges intersections with postcolonial and queer theory. Postcolonial feminist writers such as Chitra Banerjee Divakaruni revisit myths not only to re-voice female perspectives but also to situate them within cultural and national identities, thereby addressing both gender and colonial legacies (Ashcroft et al., 2002). Similarly, queer theorists highlight how revisions of myth destabilize heteronormative binaries and open space for alternative identities (Butler, 1990). These intersections expand the analysis, demonstrating that feminist revisions of myth extend beyond gender to broader critiques of power, identity, and representation.

## Literature Review

Along with an increased attention to myth as a locus of cultural authority, the past four decades have seen a huge expansion in the literature on feminist rewritings of myth, as feminist literary criticism has blossomed, and in particular as feminist literary criticism has begun to address myth. Feminists have always pointed out that myths are not stagnant; they reinterpret themselves perpetually in terms of social, political, and ideological change in culture: in other words, myths have always been in the process of cultural re-invention. In line with the modern literature, female writers have refined the use of myths strategically to promote the female writing voices, undermining the patriarchal forms of archetypes, and creating newer symbolic contexts of gender, identity, and power.

Alicia Ostriker (1982, 1986) was among the first scholars to catch a feminist glimpse in myth when she posited the so-called tradition of the revisionist mythmaking. Ostriker believed that there is a political reason why female poets and novelists use

myth, that is, as a political tactic in order to criticize gender inequality. As an example, the revisions of characters like Persephone, Pandora, and Medusa are reminiscent of the larger feminist efforts to shift the story of passivity or other-monstrosity toward tales of endurance and defiance. Likewise, Sandra Gilbert and Susan Gubar (1979) in The Madwoman in the Attic dwelled on how the rewriting of culturally established archetypes by women is destabilizing patriarchal sexualities. These theoretical observations can serve as the basis of the analysis of how modern writers use mythic characters in revisionist guises.

Feminist writers have been developing works regarding the topic of female subjectivity in myth in recent decades. In The Penelopiad (2005) by Margaret Atwood, the author re-focuses the Homeric Odyssey onto Penelope, the wife of the main hero Odysseus, and the text challenges the exaltation of the protagonist and addresses the issues of faithfulness, deception, and muted female voice. The narrative strategy typical of Atwood, according to scholars such as Smyth (2008), serves as the model of feminist counter-narrative, in which the allegedly secondary character of the female writer is changed into a multi-faceted hero. Equally, Circe (2018), by Madeline Miller, has received much critical attention in its retelling of the witch-goddess Circe as a full-blooded character moving through the boundaries of patriarchal divinity (Altın, 2020). These readings bring into perspective the fact that feminist literature does not merely present alternative interpretations of myths, but it also humanises commonly "villainised" female bodies.

Another important intervention into the history of reception of the Indian epic Mahabharata (2008) is The Palace of Illusions by Chitra Banerjee Divakaruni, which retells the story of the Indian epic through the prism of Draupadi. As Ray (2014) observes, Divakaruni places myth in a postcolonial/feminist context, focusing primarily on the agency, desires, and resistance to both divine and social institutions of Draupadi. These cases indicate that such revision of myths by feminists is not restricted to Western traditions alone but also has a significant effect in South Asian cultures and the world.

In addition to fiction, poetry has also been used as an effective getaway place for feminist reworkings of myth. The World's Wife (1999) by Carol Ann Duffy is a recasting of versions of myths, fairy tales, and historical narratives, in the voices of the women who fell silent in the canon. The opponents like Montefiore (2009) support the view that the irony, parody, and re-voicing utilized by Duffy highlight the feminist aim of demarcating a feminine space in narratives. In like manner, Rich tends to imbue their poetry with mythic allusions in order to establish a larger critique of patriarchal cultural systems (Keyes, 2001). Myth, as explored poetically, highlights the fact that feminist literature takes different genres to question traditional power.

According to the scholars, common approaches in feminist myth revision have been found to include those of re-voicing, inversion, parody, and deconstruction (Zajko & Leonard, 2006). Re-voicing gives voice narration of the narrators from the perspective of suppressed characters, as in The Penelopiad. Inversion turns hierarchies upside down, including making not Circe a marginal and marginalized sorceress but making her a very central person of power and independence. Parody and the use of irony, which we can find in the work by Duffy, destabilize the serious applications of the patriarchal myth and create the spaces through which there can be alternative meanings. Deconstruction, in its turn, challenges the ideological functions of the David versus Goliath binaries of myth (male/female), hero/monster, civilization/nature. The importance of feminist literary creativity is apparent in the strategies used to break down traditional narratives.

Scholarship in feminist myth revision has a close connection with more general cultural issues of gender and power. Marina Warner (1994) in From the Beast to the Blonde brings out the historical role of myths, legends, and fairy tales in serving to rationalize the subordination of women. Nevertheless, these latter structures of possibilities are implied by Warner as well, who states that even in these same narratives exist latent potentials of feminist reconsideration. The more recent inquiry (e.g., Tatar, 2004) focuses on the intersection of feminist retellings of myth with popular culture, also feeding into the present debates about how women are represented in media and in literature.

Not only Western canons but also cross-cultural digressions contribute to a feminist future. Ama Ata Aidoo and Nawal El Saadawi are African writers who have touched upon local myths and folklore to

condemn gender inequality as well as the phenomenon of colonialism (Nnaemeka, 1997). Equally, other Latin American writers such as Isabel Allende mix myth and magical realism in their feminist stories that undermine the patriarchal culture. Comparative approaches highlight that myth, as a cultural system, transcends geographic boundaries and provides a shared site for feminist critique.

## Gaps in Scholarship

Despite the extensive scholarship, certain gaps remain. Much of the existing literature focuses on Western canonical myths, with fewer studies engaging with non-Western traditions beyond a limited set of texts. Furthermore, while feminist literary criticism has highlighted strategies of revision, less attention has been given to how readers and audiences interpret and respond to these feminist myths in different cultural contexts. Finally, there remains scope for interdisciplinary analysis that integrates feminist myth revision with contemporary debates in queer theory, ecofeminism, and postcolonial studies.

The literature demonstrates that feminist revisions of myth are both a literary strategy and a cultural intervention. By rewriting myths, contemporary authors dismantle patriarchal representations and reconfigure cultural memory. From Atwood and Miller in the West to Divakaruni and Aidoo in global contexts, feminist mythmaking underscores the universality of the struggle to reclaim women's voices in narrative traditions. However, significant opportunities remain for expanding the field, particularly through cross-cultural, interdisciplinary, and reader-oriented studies.

## Methodology:

### Research Design

This study employs a qualitative research design grounded in literary analysis. Since the focus is on interpreting texts rather than measuring variables, the qualitative approach allows for an in-depth examination of themes, symbols, and narrative strategies used by contemporary writers to revise classical myths from a feminist perspective (Creswell & Poth, 2018). The study is interpretive in nature and

emphasizes close textual reading combined with theoretical analysis.

## Data Sources (Primary Texts)

The primary sources selected for this research are contemporary literary works that reimagine myths through feminist lenses:

- *The Penelopiad* by Margaret Atwood (2005)
- *Circe* by Madeline Miller (2018)
- *The Palace of Illusions* by Chitra Banerjee Divakaruni (2008)

These texts have been chosen because they exemplify feminist revisionist myth-making and are widely cited in scholarly discussions of gender and myth.

## Secondary Sources

Secondary sources include peer-reviewed journal articles, scholarly books, and critical essays that discuss feminist literary theory, myth criticism, and contemporary reinterpretations of classical stories. Foundational feminist theoretical works, such as those by Adrienne Rich (1979), Sandra Gilbert and Susan Gubar (1979), and Alicia Ostriker (1986), inform the analysis alongside recent literary scholarship.

## Theoretical Framework

The study is anchored in feminist literary theory and myth criticism. Feminist theory provides the lens through which power relations, gender roles, and narrative voices are examined, while myth criticism highlights how traditional archetypes are reimagined to empower marginalized voices (Zajko, 2008).

Key concepts include:

- Feminist revisionist mythology (Ostriker, 1986; Wikipedia, n.d.)
- Archetypal analysis (Legeza, 2021)
- Narrative voice and subversion (Suzuki, 2007)

## Analytical Method

The study applies close reading as the primary analytical method, focusing on language, characterization, symbolism, and narrative perspective. Each text is examined for:

1. The ways in which female voices and perspectives are foregrounded.

2. Strategies of subversion are used to challenge patriarchal mythic traditions.
3. Thematic intersections between gender, identity, and power.

A comparative approach is also employed to highlight similarities and differences across the three primary texts. It allows a more comprehensive insight into the way the feminist writers reinterpret various cultural mythologies (Greek and Indian).

## Scope and Limitations

This study is limited, in terms of scope, to three significant modern texts that represent feminist retellings of myth. Notwithstanding the critical nature of these interventions, their scope is representative only to a certain degree of the global feminist myth-making. Furthermore, this paper is concerned with literary criticism, and it does not include the perspective of audience reception or adaptation research. These limitations, however, make it possible to explore the selected texts more deeply.

## Analysis and Discussion

The examples of myth rewritings by feminists in the current literature provide an example of how canonical narratives can be dislocated, redefined, and rebuilt in order to insert the voice of historically silenced women. In fact, through the reading of The Penelopiad (2005) by Margaret Atwood, Circe (2018) by Madeline Miller, and The Palace of Illusions (2008) by Chitra Banerjee Divakaruni, which include strategies of re-narration, subversion, and reclamation in their texts, this section will point out how each text interrogates patriarchy and asserts female agency.

## Reclaiming Silenced Voices: Atwood's *The Penelopiad*

Atwood also retells the story of the Odyssey using the same format as written by Homer by putting Penelope as the central figure. In her episodic narration, Penelope dismisses the heroism of Odysseus and reveals the injustices that women have to face. Now that I am dead, I know all, she remarks. Or nearly all of it! (Atwood, 2005, p. 3), placing herself in the vantage point of both narrator and critic of the epic practice.

The most radical intervention is the inclusion of the twelve maids, who form a tragic chorus. Their refrain *"we had no voice, we had no name, we had no choice"* (Atwood, 2005, p. 147) functions as a haunting counter-narrative that protests their silencing in Homer's epic. As Wagner (2013) observes, Atwood's revision "transforms Homeric silence into feminist testimony, demanding accountability for the gendered violence embedded in cultural myth" (p. 112). Through this strategy, *The Penelopiad* exposes the complicity of myth in sustaining patriarchal authority while reasserting marginalized voices.

## Recasting Archetypes: Miller's *Circe*

Miller dismantles the traditional archetype of Circe as a dangerous witch and reconstructs her as a figure of endurance, independence, and creativity. Circe laments: *"A golden cage is still a cage"* (Miller, 2018, p. 162), highlighting the contradictions of privilege under patriarchal power. Her sorcery, redefined not as innate magic but as disciplined labor, reconfigures female strength as an earned and self-fashioned agency.

As Sarwar and Fatima (2022) argues, Miller "rewrites Circe not as the monstrous feminine but as an embodiment of resilience, rejecting divine hierarchies that confine women" (p. 56). In this sense, *Circe* becomes a manifesto of feminist self-determination, positioning the protagonist as a creator of her own narrative rather than a mere figure within male-centered myths.

## Postcolonial Feminist Perspectives: Divakaruni's *The Palace of Illusions*

Divakaruni situates Draupadi at the center of the *Mahabharata*, challenging the epic's patriarchal frame. Draupadi asserts, *"I am no victim, I am a queen"* (Divakaruni, 2008, p. 89), rejecting portrayals of her as a passive sufferer of fate. Her voice foregrounds anger, desire, and ambition, destabilizing the idealized image of self-sacrificing womanhood.

Scholars have noted how Divakaruni weaves feminist and postcolonial concerns into Draupadi's re-voicing. As Janardhanan, (2023) observes, *The Palace of Illusions* "resists both patriarchal and colonial myth-making, positioning Draupadi as a symbol of cultural resistance and female subjectivity (p. 74). This reframing enables Draupadi to inhabit

a new cultural memory where her agency is no longer effaced but celebrated.

**Table 1**

*Comparative Strategies of Feminist Revisions of Myth in Contemporary Literature*

| Author & Work | Myth Revisited | Feminist Strategy | Key Textual Evidence | Critical Insight |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Margaret Atwood, *The Penelopiad* (2005) | Homer's *Odyssey* | Reclaiming silenced voices | "We had no voice, we had no name, we had no choice" (Atwood, 2005, p. 147) | Atwood uses the maids' chorus to highlight systemic silencing (Wagner, 2013). |
| Madeline Miller, *Circe* (2018) | Greek myths of Circe | Recasting archetypes | "A golden cage is still a cage" (Miller, 2018, p. 162) | Miller reframes Circe as resilient, self-determined (Sarwar & Fatima (2022). |
| Chitra B. Divakaruni, *The Palace of Illusions* (2008) | Indian *Mahabharata* | Postcolonial feminist reclamation | "I am no victim, I am a queen" (Divakaruni, 2008, p. 89) | Draupadi emerges as a symbol of resistance and agency (Janardhanan, 2023). |

Note. *Table compiled from Atwood (2005), Miller (2018), Divakaruni (2008), and critical analyses (Wagner, 2013; Sarwar & Fatima 2022; Janardhanan, 2023).*

## Comparative Strategies of Feminist Myth Revision

Collectively, these works represent common feminist mythmaking strategies: reclamation of a silenced voice (The Penelopiad); a reworking of archetypes (Circe); a feminist as well as a postcolonialist treatment (The Palace of Mysteries). In a sense, Atwood questions the hero status of Odysseus, Miller breaks down the villain aspect of Circe, and Divakaruni gives voice back to Draupadi, as a prophet of rebellion as well as self-determination. Taken together, these writings disorient and undermine these patriarchal forms of myth and provide other material that celebrates female subjectivity, perseverance, and strength.

These texts, together, show the ways in which feminist retellings of myth operate as resistance to culture. By giving voice to the silenced women, Atwood breaks the Homeric tradition, Miller destabilizes the classical archetypes by reworking Circe as a figure of independence, and Divakaruni revalues an archetypal icon of the South Asian heroine within a feminist and postcolonial discourse. In combination, then, these texts demonstrate that the act of remaking myth is not just a literary action but a political act, providing women with new territories of both representation and agency in both Western and non-Western traditions.

## Recommendations

The current research on feminist reworking of myth in modern literature can draw attention to the transformative quality of rewritings that disrupt the patriarchal narratives and put women's voices in the forefront. Based on the analysis, we can suggest several recommendations/ideas for future research, pedagogy, and cultural encounters.

## Expansion of Comparative Frameworks

Future studies should further focus on the aspect of including the mainly Greco-Roman and Indian cultures covered in this analysis. Introducing African, Middle Eastern, and Indigenous myths would diversify the literary base, not only that, but also show how feminist re-tellings work in various

cultural settings. It would assist the scholars in tracking the generality and the particularity of the feminist tactics in rewriting myths.

## Interdisciplinary Approaches

It is proposed that interdisciplinary approaches should be utilized in the future when literary interpretations are incorporated with gender studies, anthropology, psychology, and cultural studies. Those methods could open a path to disclosing the role of myths as not merely textual forms but as cultural codings of gender subjectivities and social normativities.

## Pedagogical Integration

It is highly recommended that feminist revisions of myth be included in course curricula at both undergraduate and postgraduate levels. Such readings could be especially effective in undermining the hierarchies of the canon and introducing students to non-canonical sources that dislodge their gendered subject positions. Teaching such texts in the classroom is pedagogically productive insofar as it fosters a critical reflection about the connections among literature, culture, and power.

## Focus on Reader Reception

Future research needs to be conducted on the reception and consumption of feminist retellings of myth, especially by its female and marginal audiences. The reception-oriented research would give an idea about whether these stories are effective in empowering the readers and transforming their cultural views on the mythological traditions.

## Digital and Popular Culture Engagement

With the current adaptation of myth in the media, especially movies, television, and digital media, it is imperative that scholars expand their analysis to these other cultural forms. One such focus is feminist rewritings in popular culture; such rewritings have the potential to shape how people think of gender and myth - as a consequence, they are a key target of further study.

All in all, feminist revisions of myth still have great potential for transforming literary traditions and cultural consciousness as well. Future scholarship can develop our knowledge of the ways through which literature has been and continues to play its role in the struggle to bring gender justice and change the culture by expanding comparative horizons, using interdisciplinary approaches, and working with both pedagogy and popular culture.

## Conclusion

The feminist refashioning of myth in modern works shows the strength of the narrative to be both oppressive and freeing. Long established in patriarchal networks of signification, myths have traditionally excluded women, delegating them (in some myths) to a passive role (in others, a sexually tempting one), or (in yet others) to the periphery. Redoing these, the contemporary women writers not only break these imposed limits but also rebuild cultural memory that ensures foregrounding of women's subjectivity, agency, and opposition. This paper has shown how the texts of The Penelopiad by Margaret Atwood, Circe by Madeline Miller, and The Palace of Illusions by Chitra Banerjee Divakaruni illustrate how approaches to feminist mythmaking re-voicing, inversion, and reclamation have created a destabilizing effect on patriarchal structures and opened up new spaces in the telling of stories.

Atwood's *The Penelopiad* exposes the silences and erasures within Homer's *Odyssey*, amplifying the voices of Penelope and her maids to critique systemic gendered injustice. Miller Circe recontextualizes a demonized witch into an emblem of strength, self-assertion, and creativity, and criticizes the archetypes that have typically limited women to monstrosities or subservient characters. The Palace of Illusions by Divakaruni redeploys the figure of Draupadi in the Mahabharata and intelligently combines feminist and postcolonial approaches in an attempt to challenge both patriarchal and colonial modes of mythmaking. Collectively, these readings and writings reveal how the myth, as a cultural form, is easily appropriated and how writers involved with feminism can interrogate, intervene, and reconstitute a prevailing symbolic order. In their transformation of myths into loci of dispute, these authors proclaim that cultural narratives endure not as permanently fixed, but as negotiable, revisable, and reimaginable.

The relevance of these interventions is not limited to the literary innovation, but also to the society in general, cultural, and political processes. Revisions of feminist myths are themselves not only

an enrichment to the canon but also a means towards retracing gender, power, and identity in the twenty-first century. They serve to remind us that literature may interfere in the process of cultural memory, destabilizing the hierarchies established within symbolic traditions and permitting alternative templates of subjectivity. By that, feminist retellings resonate with the world, solving the conflict between classical and cultural traditions due to various geographies. Just as in the case of Atwood reflecting on the Homeric epic, Miller retreading the Greek mythology, or Divakaruni retelling the stories of Indian epics, these (literally) transnationalized texts also reveal the transnational span of feminist mythmaking and its application to current issues of identity politics and practices of representation and justice.

In addition to that, this article highlights the importance of the fact that feminist myth rewriting is not a purely literary activity but a kind of cultural struggle. By reclaiming silenced voices, reconfiguring archetypes, and reconstructing mythological traditions, feminist authors actively reshape the symbolic frameworks that govern gendered experience. They not only criticize the unjust events and injustices of the past, but also imagine the ways in which they can become different and more egalitarian. These two practices towards critique and reconstructive efforts only make feminist mythmaking a central method of cultural production, one that simultaneously questions corporate forms or structures and provides a model to envision gender and power differently.

To sum up, the question of feminine redefinitions of myth showcases how difficult it is to re-establish a place of female speech and re-inscribe cultural customs. They are one of the testimonies to the durability of literature as a source of challenging power and verifying other interpretations of identity. With the constant changes in myths depending on the society's demands, myths will still need feminist interventions in making these very base of the society ensure not only that there is the continuity of tradition but also the reforms or potential changes that may be effected. Placing women in the middle of the myth, writers today signal that literature is a site of resistance and re-vision, renewal.

## References

Altın, M. (2020). Aeaea is revisited: Revisionist mythmaking strategies in Madeline Miller's *Circe*. *Ankara Üniversitesi Dil ve Tarih-Coğrafya Fakültesi Dergisi*, *60*(1), 145–157. https://doi.org/10.33171/dtcfjournal.2020.60.1.8

Atwood, M. (2005). *The Penelopiad*. Canongate. Google Scholar    Worldcat    Fulltext

Butler, J. (1990). *Gender trouble: Feminism and the subversion of identity*. Routledge. Google Scholar    Worldcat    Fulltext

Cixous, H. (1976). The laugh of the Medusa. *Signs, 1*(4), 875–893. https://doi.org/10.1086/493306 Google Scholar    Worldcat    Fulltext

Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications. Google Scholar    Worldcat    Fulltext

Divakaruni, C. B. (2008). *The palace of illusions*. Doubleday. Google Scholar    Worldcat    Fulltext

Janardhanan, P. (2023). Rewriting gendered narratives: A comparative reading of Chitra Banerjee Divakaruni's *The Palace of Illusions* and Kavitha Kane's *Karna's Wife: The Outcast's Queen*. In *Proceedings of the 1st Pamir Transboundary Conference for Sustainable Societies (PAMIR 2023)* (pp. 824–828). SCITEPRESS – Science and Technology Publications. https://doi.org/10.5220/0012512500003792 Google Scholar    Worldcat    Fulltext

Legeza, O. (2021). Jung's concept of archetypes in feminist revisionist mythology, in the context of M. Atwood's *The Penelopiad* and M. Miller's *Circe*. *East European Scientific Journal*, *1*(8[72]), 43–48. https://doi.org/10.31618/essa.2782-1994.2021.1.72.107 Google Scholar    Worldcat    Fulltext

Miller, M. (2018). *Circe*. Little, Brown and Company. Google Scholar    Worldcat    Fulltext

Moi, T. (2002). *Sexual/textual politics: Feminist literary theory*. Routledge. Google Scholar    Worldcat    Fulltext

Ostriker, A. (1982). *The thief of language: Women's poetry and poetics*. Beacon Press. Google Scholar    Worldcat    Fulltext

Ostriker, A. (1986). *Stealing the language: The emergence of women's poetry in America*. Beacon Press. Google Scholar    Worldcat    Fulltext

Rich, A. (1979). *On lies, secrets, and silence: Selected prose 1966–1978*. W. W. Norton. Google Scholar    Worldcat    Fulltext

Sarwar, R., & Fatima, S. (2022). *Madeline Miller's Circe: A feminist stylistic approach. Perennial Journal of History*, *3*(2), 337–359. https://doi.org/10.52700/pjh.v3i2.128

Showalter, E. (1985). *The new feminist criticism*. Pantheon. Google Scholar    Worldcat    Fulltext

Spivak, G. C. (1990). *The post-colonial critic: Interviews, strategies, dialogues*. Routledge. Google Scholar    Worldcat    Fulltext

Suzuki, M. (2007). Rewriting the *Odyssey* in the twenty-first century: Mary Zimmerman's *Odyssey* and Margaret Atwood's *The Penelopiad*. *College Literature*, *34*(2), 263–278. https://www.jstor.org/stable/25115430 Google Scholar    Worldcat    Fulltext

Wagner, E. (2013). Feminist revisions of Homer: Margaret Atwood's *The Penelopiad*. *Canadian Literature*, *218*, 109–123. https://doi.org/10.14288/cl.v218i0.184658 Google Scholar    Worldcat    Fulltext

Warner, M. (1994). *From the beast to the blonde: On fairy tales and their tellers*. Farrar, Straus & Giroux. Google Scholar    Worldcat    Fulltext

Zajko, V. (2008). Women and Greek myth. In R. D. Woodard (Ed.), *The Cambridge companion to Greek mythology* (pp. 387–404). Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CCOL9780521846014.020 Google Scholar    Worldcat    Fulltext