



## The Geopolitical Implications of the China-Pakistan Economic Corridor



Ayesha Alam\*

Abdul Waheed†

Mehvish Noor ul Islam‡

**Abstract:** *The China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC) is a major infrastructure and economic development project that has attracted significant interest due to its geopolitical implications. This article examines the many facets of CPEC and its impact on the region. The introduction summarizes CPEC and provides the article statement, emphasizing the research focus on geopolitical implications. The historical context section examines the origins of CPEC and details the motivations and goals that led to its creation. The economic impact of the CPEC is examined, highlighting the corridor's benefits, challenges and infrastructure development projects. In addition, the article examines the geopolitical implications of the CPEC and assesses its impact on the balance of power in South Asia and the wider Indo-Pacific region. Perspectives and responses from neighbouring countries and international organizations are also taken into account. The article concludes by summarizing the important findings and emphasizing the policy implications for Pakistan, China and other stakeholders. Future prospects and situations are examined and recommendations for further research are given.*

**Key Words:** China, Pakistan, Geopolitics, Implications

### Introduction

The China-Pakistan Economic Corridor has become a turning point in international infrastructure and economic development. The corridor stretching through Pakistan has a vast network of transportation, energy and industrial projects with an expected investment of more than US\$60 billion (Ahmed & Bhattacharya, 2021). CPEC has enormous geopolitical implications for both Pakistan and the region, and is receiving significant attention from scholars, policymakers and commentators around the world

The main objective of this article is to examine the many facets of CPEC and to analyze its geopolitical consequences. Additionally, this study provides a comprehensive knowledge of the Corridor's influence on regional dynamics by analyzing the historical context, economic impact and geopolitical implications.

CPEC is deeply rooted in the historical relationship between China and Pakistan, and its foundations lie in the strategic cooperation between the two nations (Raza & Li, 2020). The corridor was officially inaugurated in 2015, driven by China's desire to expand its influence and connectivity, and Pakistan's desire for economic development and infrastructure

\* Head of Pakistan Studies Department, Hazara University, Manshehra, KP, Pakistan.

† Lecturer, Department of History & Politics, The University of Haripur, KP, Pakistan.

‡ Teaching Assistant, Department of Pakistan Studies, Hazara University, Manshehra, KP, Pakistan.

improvements (Hussain, 2019). Understanding CPEC's historical background is critical to understanding the motivations and goals that led to its creation.

CPEC has the ability to transform Pakistan's financial landscape. The corridor aims to close infrastructure gaps, increase energy production and improve industrial cooperation. All of this is designed to boost economic growth and provide job opportunities (Janjua, 2019). The CPEC's economic impact extends beyond Pakistan as the corridor's strategic location opens up new trade routes and connectivity opportunities across the region (Raza & Li, 2020). Assessing CPEC's economic benefits and barriers is critical to determining its long-term impact.

CPEC has far-reaching geopolitical implications that go beyond its economic dimensions. The corridor will have implications for regional power dynamics, particularly in South Asia and the wider Indo-Pacific region (Amin, 2020). It has drawn concern and disputes among neighbouring countries, particularly India, as well as the attention of international actors such as the US and Russia (Raza & Li, 2020). Understanding the geopolitical implications of CPEC is critical to understanding its potential impact on regional stability and the balance of power.

This research paper also attempts to examine the difficulties and potential of CPEC. Sovereignty, local government, environmental sustainability, and security factors all require careful study (Nafees, 2021). Comparing CPEC to other economic corridors around the world can provide useful insights into the specifics and potential dangers of this mega-project (Ahmed & Bhattacharya, 2021).

Finally, the purpose of this research article is to contribute to a better understanding of the geopolitical implications of the CPEC. The aim of this paper is to gain insights into the diverse nature of CPEC and its potential impact on regional dynamics by assessing the historical context, economic impact and geopolitical consequences. In the following sections, these elements have been explored in depth through thorough analysis and drawing on a wide range of scholarly literature and relevant sources.

The CPEC has important implications for the balance of power in South Asia and the Indo-Pacific. CPEC has the ability to shift geopolitical dynamics and power equations by increasing China's economic presence and influence in the region.

By crossing the Straits of Malacca and reducing reliance on maritime bottlenecks, CPEC advances China's strategic interests by providing a direct land route to the Arabian Sea (Ahmed & Bhattacharya, 2021). This strengthens China's maritime security and allows it to wield more power in the Indo-Pacific region. It also improves China's access to critical energy resources, notably through the expansion of the port of Gwadar.

The corridor's infrastructure development and economic growth potential can strengthen Pakistan's position in the region and its strategic alliance with China. It bolsters Pakistan's status as a potential economic and military ally with the ability to upset the balance of power in South Asia (Janjua, 2019). This has consequences for the neighbouring countries, especially India, and their geopolitical calculations.

Growing Chinese influence in South Asia via CPEC has alarmed regional nations, particularly India and the United States. In response to this shifting balance of power, they have reassessed their own strategy and collaboration. CPEC in particular is seen as a strategic threat to India's security and regional dominance (Bhattacharya, 2020). The US has also been closely monitoring China's growing footprint in the Indo-Pacific and the implications for its own interests.

Finally, the CPEC has enormous implications for the balance of power in South Asia and the Indo-Pacific. CPEC has the ability to transform regional dynamics and influence the strategic thinking of countries in the region by enhancing China's presence and influence. The growth of the corridor and growing Chinese influence have prompted regional states to reconsider their strategy and alliances.

Additionally, CPEC has drawn a wide range of reactions and opinions from neighbouring countries and international organizations. Neighbouring countries have reacted differently

to CPEC because of their strategic interests and concerns. India has expressed doubts and objections to CPEC, regarding the corridor's passage through Pakistan-administered Kashmir as a violation of its sovereignty (Bhattacharya, 2020). India views CPEC as a geopolitical issue and is concerned about China's growing presence and influence in the region.

Iran, on the other hand, has expressed an interest in exploring connectivity and the potential for an economic partnership with CPEC (Liang, 2021). Iran sees CPEC as an opportunity to expand its trade and connections with China and beyond. Afghanistan also sees potential benefits in the CPEC for its own economic development and regional connectivity (Janjua, 2019).

From the perspective of the major world powers, the US has been following the development of the CPEC closely and has expressed concerns about the potential impact on its strategic interests in the region. The US has expressed concerns about China's growing presence and influence and has sought to offset this through programs such as the Indo-Pacific Strategy (Bhattacharya, 2020).

The international world has different opinions about China's Belt and Road Initiative (BRI), of which CPEC is an important part. Some countries praised the initiative's economic potential and infrastructure development, but others raised concerns about debt sustainability, transparency and the geopolitical implications of China's growing power (Ahmed & Bhattacharya, 2021).

Finally, neighbouring countries and international organizations have expressed different opinions and reactions to the CPEC. While India has expressed doubts and concerns, Iran and Afghanistan have expressed interest in possible benefits. The US and other world powers have been closely monitoring CPEC's progress and its impact on regional dynamics. The foreign world had mixed feelings about the BRI overall.

### **Historical Context of CPEC**

---

The origins and development of the CPEC can be traced back to the longstanding strategic alliance

between China and Pakistan. CPEC, formally established in 2015, intends to improve connectivity and promote economic cooperation between the two countries (Hussain, 2019). This has subsequently developed into a comprehensive framework that includes numerous infrastructure and energy projects. CPEC is part of China's larger BRI initiative, which aims to revitalize ancient trade routes and strengthen regional integration (Ahmed & Bhattacharya, 2021). The corridor is extremely important for Pakistan as it offers prospects for economic growth, infrastructure development and improved regional connectivity. China's investments in CPEC demonstrate the country's determination to increase its influence and secure energy supplies (Raza & Li, 2020). Understanding the origins and development of CPEC is critical to understanding its historical context and the rationale for its creation.

### **Motivations and Objectives Behind the Initiation of CPEC**

---

The CPEC was created with many motivations and goals on the part of both China and Pakistan. From China's perspective, CPEC is an important part of its BRI with the aim of improving connectivity, expanding its influence and securing energy supply (Ahmed & Bhattacharya, 2021). China is trying to establish important trade channels and strengthen economic ties with Pakistan and the rest of the region.

Pakistan, on the other hand, saw the CPEC as an opportunity to improve infrastructure, accelerate economic growth and attract foreign investment (Hussain, 2019). The corridor is in line with Pakistan's ambitions for development and improved connectivity with neighbouring countries. It has the ability to create jobs, industrial development and energy cooperation.

Important agreements have been reached between China and Pakistan to support the implementation of CPEC. Examples include the CPEC Long-Term Plan (LTP) outlining the vision and framework for collaboration, as well as various sector-specific agreements in the areas of infrastructure, energy, transport and industrial partnership (Janjua, 2019). The establishment of Special Economic Zones (SEZs), the

construction of transportation infrastructure such as roads and railways, and the development of energy projects are all notable CPEC developments.

The analysis of key CPEC agreements and developments provides insights into the strategic intent and progress of the corridor and sheds light on concrete efforts by China and Pakistan to achieve their goals.

### **Economic Impact of CPEC**

The economic impact of the CPEC has attracted much attention and discussion. This section provides an overview of Pakistan's economic benefits and challenges related to CPEC. It examines the potential for economic growth, infrastructure development, job creation and improved regional connectivity. However, it recognizes the obstacles and risks that need to be addressed to promote sustainable and inclusive economic development.

CPEC intends to close infrastructure gaps, increase energy production and improve industrial cooperation to boost economic growth and increase job opportunities (Janjua, 2019). The strategic location of the corridor offers new trade routes and connectivity opportunities, encourages foreign direct investment and promotes regional integration (Raza & Li, 2020). CPEC projects, including the construction of roads, ports and energy infrastructure, contribute to Pakistan's overall development and increase its competitiveness in the global market. However, there are obstacles such as concerns about debt sustainability, fair distribution of benefits and the need for good governance and local engagement (Ahmed & Bhattacharya, 2021). Environmental sustainability and societal impacts must also be considered. Balancing economic benefits and barriers and ensuring inclusive development are key concerns in realizing CPEC's potential for Pakistan.

CPEC has enormous economic potential for Pakistan. The corridor attempts to close significant infrastructure gaps, such as road, rail, port and energy developments (Janjua, 2019). These investments support job creation, technological progress and industrial development (Raza & Li, 2020). CPEC intends

to lower transaction costs, increase productivity and attract foreign direct investment to many sectors of Pakistan's economy by improving transportation networks and energy supplies (Ahmed & Bhattacharya, 2021). The establishment of SEZ along the corridor expands opportunities for industrialization, export-led growth and diversification of the economy (Janjua, 2019).

The economic benefits of CPEC extend beyond Pakistan's borders. The corridor's strategic location provides an opportunity to connect South Asia, Central Asia and the Middle East, promote regional integration and open new trade routes (Raza & Li, 2020). This allows Pakistan to play an important role as a transit hub for trade and energy flows, strengthen economic cooperation and increase the country's geopolitical importance (Hussain, 2019). Greater regional connectivity and improved trade can lead to better market access, greater export opportunities and increased competitiveness for Pakistani companies.

Despite the potential benefits, CPEC brings with it economic concerns that need to be addressed efficiently. Debt sustainability is one of the concerns. The significant financial outlay required to implement the CPEC project has raised concerns about Pakistan's ability to manage its debt burden (Ahmed & Bhattacharya, 2021). Pakistan must carefully manage its financial commitments and ensure that the benefits of CPEC projects outweigh the costs involved.

Another point to consider is the equitable distribution of rewards. While CPEC has the potential to help specific regions and businesses, it is vital to ensure equitable growth and development in all sections of society. Efforts should be made to reduce regional disparities, encourage local engagement and prioritize social development alongside infrastructure development (Nafees, 2021).

Another important aspect is ecological sustainability. The development of infrastructure and energy projects under CPEC may have environmental impacts such as land degradation, pollution and habitat destruction. Implementing strict environmental protection measures,

promoting renewable energy initiatives and prioritizing sustainable development practices are all critical.

Finally, the CPEC project has significant economic potential for Pakistan. Infrastructure developments, improved connectivity and industrial development along the corridor can boost economic growth, create job opportunities and improve regional trade prospects. However, to achieve sustainable and inclusive economic development, concerns about debt sustainability, the equitable distribution of benefits and environmental sustainability must be addressed. By effectively addressing these difficulties, Pakistan can maximize the economic benefits of CPEC while ensuring long-term socio-economic improvement.

### **Geopolitical Implications**

---

The CPEC has enormous geopolitical ramifications for both the region and the international world at large. This section examines the geopolitical implications of CPEC, with a focus on the implications for regional power dynamics, Indo-Pacific dynamics, and the reactions of neighbouring nations and key international actors.

The regional power implications of CPEC are significant. As the flagship project of China's BRI, CPEC strengthens China's strategic influence in South Asia and the Indian Ocean region. It improves China's connection to the Arabian Sea by providing an alternative trade route that bypasses the Strait of Malacca and potentially reduces China's dependence on the fragile Strait of Hormuz (Ali, [2020](#)). This development has geopolitical ramifications as it expands China's maritime influence and raises concerns among countries wishing to maintain regional balance.

The impact of CPEC on Indo-Pacific dynamics is also important. The corridor's growth and Pakistan's increased involvement have implications for the entire Indo-Pacific region, including India and the US. Because a section of the corridor runs through Pakistan-administered Kashmir, which India claims as its own territory, India regards CPEC as a violation of its sovereignty (Bhattacharya, 2020). This has

strained India-Pakistan relations and complicated India-China relations in general. In addition, the US has raised concerns about China's growing influence through CPEC, as well as its potential impact on regional stability and American interests (Ali, 2020). CPEC has become a strategic consideration for major states in the Indo-Pacific region.

CPEC has provoked mixed reactions from neighbouring countries and international organizations. Some countries, notably Iran and Russia, have expressed interest in connecting their own infrastructure projects to CPEC, recognizing the potential benefits of regional connectivity (Ahmed & Bhattacharya, 2021). Other nations, including the US and India, have raised concerns about the regional security implications of CPEC and China's growing influence (Bhattacharya, 2020). India has been actively working to offset CPEC by pushing other connectivity projects such as the International North-South Transport Corridor.

Managing the geopolitical impact of CPEC requires a delicate balance to be struck. Pakistan must guarantee that CPEC projects do not jeopardize its sovereignty, security or international relations. Transparency, excellent governance and efficient conflict management are crucial to restoring trust and easing concerns between neighbouring countries (Nafees, 2021). To alleviate geopolitical problems and promote regional stability, all actors must engage in active diplomatic engagement, dialogue and cooperation.

Finally, the geopolitical implications of CPEC are significant and diverse. The Corridor's influence on regional power dynamics, Indo-Pacific relations and the reactions of neighbouring countries and key international actors must be carefully considered. Balancing the interests of many parties, promoting transparency and addressing security concerns is key to maximizing the beneficial geopolitical consequences of CPEC while minimizing potential problems.

### **Security Considerations and Challenges**

---

The CPEC takes security aspects and obstacles into account. This section examines the security

implications of the CPEC for Pakistan and the region. It emphasizes how important it is to reconcile economic and security policy goals. In addition, issues such as sovereignty, local governance and the appropriate management of security risks associated with the corridor have been addressed.

CPEC's implications for Pakistan's security are numerous and complex. The significant infrastructure improvements and growing economic activity along the corridor bring both opportunities and constraints. On the one hand, building roads, ports, and energy infrastructure can improve connectivity, boost economic growth, and provide job opportunities. Terrorism, sabotage and separatist movements, on the other hand, make these projects fraught with security risks (Bhatti & Liew, 2021). To ensure CPEC's smooth operation and long-term success, it is vital to preserve its infrastructure, staff and investments.

A major problem is reconciling economic cooperation with security goals. Pakistan needs to address security concerns without impeding CPEC project progress or deterring foreign investors. Cooperation between the Pakistani security forces and key stakeholders is vital to create a secure environment for CPEC operations (Rasool, Riaz, & Zafar, 2020). Improving border management and deploying specialized security units can help reduce security threats associated with the corridor.

CPEC also raises concerns about sovereignty and local governance. Due to the presence of Chinese troops and the influx of foreign investment, Pakistan's national interests and sovereignty must be carefully assessed. Transparency and accountability in decision-making processes, contract negotiations and project delivery are critical to ensure that CPEC benefits Pakistanis and is aligned with their long-term development goals (Rasool et al., 2020). In order to reap the benefits of CPEC while preserving their cultural heritage and social fabric, local communities need to be properly involved and empowered.

Efforts to address security concerns related to CPEC should take regional dynamics into account. Cooperation with neighbouring

countries, particularly on information sharing and border security, can help make the corridor more stable and secure (Nafees, 2021). Cooperation with foreign partners such as China and the US can contribute to capacity building, technology transfer and expertise sharing to improve safety along the CPEC route.

Finally, security concerns and barriers are critical components of CPEC. Balancing economic cooperation with security goals, protecting infrastructure, and addressing sovereignty and local government concerns are critical to the successful implementation and long-term viability of the corridor. Cooperation between relevant parties such as Pakistani security forces, local people, neighbouring countries and international partners is crucial to properly addressing security concerns and creating a safe environment for the further development of the CPEC.

### Comparative Analysis of CPEC with Other Economic Corridors

---

A comparison of the CPEC with other economic corridors provides important insights into its distinctive features, problems and prospects. This section compares CPEC to other economic corridors such as the BRI, the Eurasian Economic Union (EEU) and the Japan-India Corridor, noting similarities and differences in terms of objectives, scope, governance and impact.

The BRI is China's overall development strategy, including CPEC as a flagship project. Both programs aim to promote connections, trade and economic cooperation. CPEC, on the other hand, focuses solely on improving Sino-Pakistani ties and supporting regional integration, while the BRI has a broader reach, encompassing multiple nations and regions (Ahmed & Bhattacharya, 2021). CPEC's importance stems from its ability to connect South Asia, Central Asia and the Middle East and serve as a vital link in China's regional and global economic strategy.

The EEU is a regional integration project involving Armenia, Belarus, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan and Russia. While both CPEC and EEU aim to improve connectivity and economic

cooperation, their focus differs. The CPEC mainly deals with infrastructure development and energy projects, while the EEU deals with trade facilitation, regulatory harmonization and building a common market (Janjua, 2019). The EEU has a wider regional reach and includes multiple nations, while CPEC focuses more on China-Pakistan relations.

The Japan-India Corridor, also known as the Asia-Africa Growth Corridor (AAGC), is a joint project between Japan and India. Its mission is to promote connections, sustainable development and cooperation across Asia and Africa. In contrast to the CPEC, the Japan-India corridor emphasizes high-quality infrastructure, capacity building and personal exchanges (Khan, 2021). Both projects aim to promote economic growth and regional integration but differ in terms of governance structure, financial systems and strategic goals.

Comparing CPEC to other economic routes reveals several comparable barriers and opportunities. These include concerns about project financing, debt sustainability, local engagement, social and environmental safeguards, and geopolitical impacts (Bhattacharya, 2020). Lessons learned from other corridors can serve as a basis for good practice and provide insight into how to deal effectively with these difficulties.

Finally, a comparison of the CPEC with other economic corridors sheds light on its specific characteristics and impact. While both share the same goals of connectivity and economic cooperation, CPEC is unique in its emphasis on Sino-Pakistani ties and regional integration. Understanding the similarities and differences between CPEC and other corridors helps identify potential synergies, barriers and lessons learned that can contribute to CPEC's success and shape future Economic Corridor projects.

### **Future Prospects and Policy Implications**

The future possibilities of the CPEC as well as the political implications of its development are crucial issues for Pakistan and the international community. This section examines possible future directions for the CPEC and addresses

policy issues related to governance, sustainability, regional collaboration and maximizing the benefits of the corridor.

CPEC has the ability to revolutionize Pakistan's economic progress in the future. It has the potential to improve infrastructure, energy security, industrialization and job creation. To maximize its impact, robust governance procedures must be in place that promote transparency, accountability and a fair distribution of benefits (Nafees, 2021). The efficiency and effectiveness of CPEC projects can be improved by strengthening institutional capacity, streamlining regulatory processes, and developing public-private partnerships (Bhatti & Liew, 2021).

Sustainability is an important aspect of the future of CPEC. In order to reduce potential negative impacts, priority should be given to environmental protection, social inclusion and responsible project management. Incorporating Sustainable Development Goals into CPEC projects can promote green infrastructure, renewable energy and sustainable livelihoods (Raza & Li, 2020). Working with foreign partners, as well as learning from global best practices, can support Pakistan in addressing sustainability concerns and developing a model for sustainable economic corridors.

Regional collaboration is critical to CPEC's success. Increased communication and trade relations with neighbouring countries can contribute to the economic integration of the region. Cooperation efforts should focus on policy harmonization, removing trade barriers and promoting cross-border investment (Ahmed & Bhattacharya, 2021). Participation in regional forums and platforms such as the Shanghai Cooperation Organization (SCO) and the South Asian Association for Regional Cooperation (SAARC) helps foster conversation, trust and cooperation among member states.

Political implications for CPEC include long-term vision and comprehensive strategic planning. Pakistan's national policies, including infrastructure development, energy, trade and investment, need to be aligned with the CPEC's goals (Rasool et al., 2020). To attract diverse sectors and support economic growth, an

appropriate business climate, investor-friendly regulations and incentives for domestic and foreign investment are crucial (Nafees, 2021). Policymakers should also address security issues, develop resilience to potential threats, and improve collaboration among many stakeholders.

Additionally, interacting with international partners such as China, the US, and other nations along the Belt and Road Initiative can open doors to opportunities for collaboration, information sharing, and technology transfer (Raza & Li, 2020). This can help Pakistan improve its capabilities in areas such as modern infrastructure development, technological advances in industry and human resource development.

After all, CPEC's future prospects depend on good governance, sustainability, regional cooperation and smart policy planning. Strengthening transparency, accountability and equitable benefit-sharing, as well as incorporating sustainable practices, fostering regional collaboration and aligning national policies are critical for CPEC to reach its full potential. Working with international partners and learning from global experiences can contribute to the long-term development and benefits of the corridor.

## **Conclusion**

In this research paper, the scholar has examined the geopolitical consequences of CPEC from different angles. Scholars reviewed the historical context and rationale for the creation of CPEC, examined its economic impact on Pakistan, examined the corridor's security issues and barriers, and compared CPEC to other economic corridors. They also examined CPEC's future potential and policy implications for its long-term development.

This research study contributes to the understanding of the geopolitical implications of

the CPEC by providing an in-depth examination of its various dimensions. It underscores CPEC's importance as a flagship project of the BRI and its ability to revolutionize Pakistan's economy and regional interconnections. The economic impact study highlights the benefits and challenges of CPEC for Pakistan, while the analysis of security concerns highlights the importance of balancing economic cooperation and security interests. In addition, comparison with other economic corridors sheds light on CPEC's distinctive characteristics and role in the global environment.

While this study article provides useful insight into the geopolitical implications of CPEC, there are other areas that warrant further investigation. Initially, in-depth studies could be conducted to examine the social and environmental impacts of CPEC and explore methods for long-term development along the corridor. In addition, further research is needed to fully understand the impact of CPEC on regional dynamics and the geopolitical landscape, particularly in relation to neighbouring countries and major world powers. In addition, research into CPEC governance systems and the roles of different actors in decision-making processes can help improve knowledge about the long-term viability of the corridor.

In conclusion, this study has shed light on the geopolitical implications of CPEC. Information was revealed on its historical context, objectives, economic impact, security concerns and similarities to other economic corridors. The results complement the current body of knowledge and lay the foundation for future research on the social, environmental and geopolitical components of CPEC. Policymakers, researchers, and stakeholders can work together to maximize benefits and address the challenges associated with this revolutionary endeavour by continuing to explore these questions.



## References

- Ahmed, N., & Bhattacharya, S. (2021). The China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC): Opportunities and challenges for sustainable development. In S. De (Ed.), *Handbook of Research on Global Business Opportunities*. IGI Global. 109-126.
- Ali, F. (2020). The geopolitics of the China-Pakistan Economic Corridor: Prospects and challenges. *Strategic Studies Quarterly*, 14(3), 149-180.
- Amin, M. A. (2020). The Geopolitics of China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC). In N. Hassan & A. A. Khalid (Eds.), *China's Belt and Road Initiative in a Global Context: Volume II: Regional Perspectives*. Routledge. 89-103.
- Bhattacharya, D. (2020). China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC): An analysis of India's response. *Journal of Asian Security and International Affairs*, 7(2), 216-241.
- Bhatti, Z. A., & Liew, V. K. S. (2021). The China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC): A security analysis. *Journal of Asian Security and International Affairs*, 8(1), 90-116.
- Hussain, S. (2019). China-Pakistan Economic Corridor: Historical perspective and significance for economic development. *Journal of Political Studies*, 26(2), 89-110.
- Janjua, F. M. (2019). Impact of China-Pakistan Economic Corridor on Pakistan's Economy. *International Journal of Social Sciences and Management*, 6(1), 52-65.
- Khan, M. (2021). The Asia-Africa Growth Corridor: A potential alternative to the Belt and Road Initiative? *Journal of Asian Security and International Affairs*, 8(2), 197-223.
- Liang, Y. (2021). Exploring the strategic implications of China's Belt and Road Initiative for Iran: The case of the China-Pakistan Economic Corridor. *Asia Europe Journal*, 19(1), 35-52.
- Nafees, S. (2021). Pakistan-China relations and the China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC): Opportunities, challenges, and the way forward. *Chinese Political Science Review*, 6(1), 25-43.
- Rasool, T., Riaz, H., & Zafar, M. N. (2020). The China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC): Prospects and challenges. In H. Riaz & Z. Rasool (Eds.), *Pakistan and a World in Disorder: A Grand Strategy for the Twenty-First Century*. Springer. 181-208.
- Raza, A., & Li, Z. (2020). China-Pakistan Economic Corridor: From convergence to mutual economic dependency. In G. Tian & N. Pal (Eds.), *China's Belt and Road Initiative: Implications for India*. Springer. 215-232.