Global Educational
Studies Review
GESR

Check for updates | Cite Us

Perspectives of Students Regarding Abusive Supervision in their Division at Secondary Education

Umar Draz	M. Phil Scholar, Division of Education, University of Education Lahore, Punjab, Pakistan. Email: Umardrazkhan2537@gmail.com *(Corresponding Author)*
Nasir Mehmood Khan	Lecturer of Sociology, Department of Sociology, University of Chakwal, Punjab, Pakistan.
Hina Mehmood	Pir Mehr Ali Shah Arid Agriculture University, Rawalpindi, Punjab, Pakistan.

Abstract: *The research study aimed to investigate the perspectives of students regarding Abusive supervision in their division of secondary education. The design of the research study was descriptive and quantitative in nature. A survey method was used for data from the sample of the study. The population of the study consisted of students of government boys' secondary school districts in Lahore. Thirty-one secondary schools were taken as a sample through the lottery method. There were 150 students from the secondary level taken as a sample through a random sampling technique. A survey questionnaire was distributed among students after taking permission from the school head through a consent letter. The response rate was 95%. The researcher used a self-developed questionnaire for data collection. Data were analyzed through frequency, mean score and standard deviation of each statement was calculated. It was concluded that abusive supervision has become a serious problem at the secondary school level which may be solved.*

Introduction

Abusive supervision is defined by Tepper (2017) as "the expression of people below the verbal level of displeasure and non-physical contact". Furthermore, abusive management can be defined as "an ineffective form of supervisorship that exhibits patterns of anger and irrationality, public criticism, and silencing of subordinates." Previous studies have shown that approximately 4-16% of students experience school harassment, and some are aware of it and do not suspect it. Every year, organizations pay for bad work. In addition, poor school management intimidates and demotivates students, and narcissists abuse subordinates because they deny the effectiveness of supervision (Agarwal, 2021).

Poor management creates conflict and psychological stress and reduces organizational commitment, job satisfaction and loyalty. All these conditions hinder the growth and productivity of students. Stress management is positively related to behaviour at work and reinforces people's negative attitudes. A negative attitude, as we know, is a sign of a student's poor performance at work (Brendgen et al., 2019).

Abusive behaviour is a combination of different behaviours such as bullying of teachers and students, criticism of students in front of other students, and criticism and bullying of students. Furthermore, yelling at students, belittling their work, and beating people are other forms of violence (An et al.,

2021). Harassment in the school is directly related to unfair scrutiny. About 75% of school bullying cases are directed by subordinates, causing emotional or physical harm. It can be emotional abuse, verbal abuse, non-verbal abuse, or insults. It can be acquired by subordinates or colleagues, and pressure from bosses can lead to changes in the lives of students. Bad behaviour in the school is directly related to bad management. Students who are mistreated by their employers change their behaviour at work, even by resigning. Also, organizational commitment decreases and students don't care about the students' best interests, which is contrary to employee success (Chen et al., 2021).

Determining positive behaviour in the school motivates students to continue their experience. Complacency reduces student loyalty and reduces satisfaction, while complacent students reduce job involvement. Injustice and frustration are major causes of professional burnout (Avotra et al., 2021). Previous research has shown that line teachers play an important role in determining individuals' perceptions of their work environment. Similar studies have shown that administrative support depends on employee needs and reduces labour costs (Coleman, 2019).

Supervision is considered to play an important role in shaping students' perceptions of operational support and in meeting and balancing work-life needs. Thus, supportive supervisorship behaviours include many supportive behaviours, including many health initiatives (Bari et al., 2019). On the information side, supervisors are considered emotionally connected when they develop the habit of paying attention to their colleagues and listening carefully to their colleagues' problems and obstacles in managing their work and paying attention to their problems (Goaill., 2021). Although many experimental designs have been used in criminological research, there are often no general guidelines, especially for scientific research (De Vos, 2020).

Abusive supervision, or "students' perception of a supervisor without physical

contact, persistently hostile verbal and nonverbal behaviour" (e.g., abuse of supervision, decreased productivity, increased litigation costs, and reduced employee benefits) affects outcomes for many organizations and individuals. Both approaches are useful in understanding the antecedents of brutal surveillance, but both have potential limitations (Khalid et al., 2018). Regarding Damrama's approach, the implied theories of this approach are that all subordinates are equally likely to misbehave and that abusive supervisors are viewed as a deviation from good behaviour documenting variability in supervisor reports of subordinate harassment in a working group, does not meet the limits of this view. Indecision is a natural consequence of some team members being aggressive and others not (Eddins, 2021).

However, while avoiding the complications associated with a reduction procedure, it also limits the victim's vision. Theories suggest that abuse can lead to violence, but there is little indication of why such behaviour leads to four harms. Therefore, in a recent literature review on motivation, many studies confirmed that motivation is not a complete explanation. This limitation is also reflected in damage control studies. In particular, low employee productivity was found to be directly related to caregiver abuse (from the victim's perspective), but the study did not it is conclusive (Labafi et al., 2021). Explore the cognitive processes or boundary conditions associated with the relationship (although the reasons for the relationship are determined, for example, the boss describing a bad employee as "sad, frustrated and angry"). Knowledge; Tepper et al., 2017: 653). Threat situations also emphasize the role of the victim (eg attitudes or behaviours of subordinates) without the idea of generation creation (eg superior persons). (Fook, 2019).

Since controlled vision occurs with control and supervision, a more comprehensive view that includes both subordinate and supervisory levels is needed to provide a unique understanding of the phenomenon. And there is a well-known saying: "A big match is eaten

with great force." In other words, participants must use their power and reputation to engage in destructive behaviour effectively and aggressively. Most executives are, and always will be, key advocates for students. Never ever. Maintaining a supervisory position can lead to corruption. This means that some members use and moderate followers. In this article, we need to focus on the lazy or distracting aspect of supervision. Ma et al., (2021) define the most studied aspect of disruptive supervisorship as "the degree to which a supervisor exhibits persistent threatening and non-verbal behaviour towards subordinates." other than physical contact. It is important to think about the many issues that can be more important to people's well-being and organizational effectiveness than poor writing or great supervisors. Empirical studies show that negative coping styles are positively associated with negative outcomes. Four general ideas are best known by Tepper, Simon and Park (2017): "In the business world, it's good to look at managing people, people, businesses, and organizations." (Garcia et al., 2021).

Differences in supervisors' behaviour and ratings are important issues. However, although behavioural analysis and behaviourism are interrelated, behaviour and evaluation are different concepts with different positions and implications (see Banks, Fisher, Gotti, and Stock, 2020). What we call "research" is sometimes called a "presentation". Perception describes the process of "making judgments" on phenomena, while cognition describes the process of "knowing" phenomena (Nawaz et al., 2020). Therefore, the term "research" is more appropriate because the study of destructive supervision requires an objective assessment of the supervisor's behaviour rather than the presence of followers. So, the reason for differences in research and behaviour may be more than a biased opinion. Therefore, linking judgments of supervisor behaviour with behaviour reduces accuracy and validity. Similar complaints have been filed in the past and have been rejected. For example, Tepper et al. (2017; p. 126) consider: "From the outset, the report reflected specific research for abuses involved in

challenging research in this area (such as research that provides access to the best areas of organizational behaviour, business, and community organizations), as well as observations.

Problem Statement

In this study, school management refers directly to the principal or supervisor. Abusive supervision refers to the subordinate's perception that the supervisor is involved in supporting verbal and nonverbal behaviours other than physical contact. Abuse is an easy way to express power and dominance over others - especially children. Abusive behaviour does not only pertain to physical but can also be emotional. Abusive behaviour may be done verbally. Words that are said to emotionally hurt you. Being violent and aggressive, making threats, being controlling, etc. One of the primary responsibilities of school management is to provide an environment that supports students and teachers to be connected and productive at school. Teachers have the responsibility to focus on creating an environment and climate that can provide a peaceful and caring environment so that bullying does not create a dangerous environment at school. The present study aims to investigate the perspectives of students regarding Abusive supervision in their division of secondary education.

Objectives of the study

Specific objectives of the study were:
- To investigate the perspectives of students regarding Abusive supervision in their division of secondary education.
- To analyse the implications of abusive supervision of school on school productivity
- To find out the implications of abusive supervision on school performance and teachers' behaviour

Literature Review

School supervisorship is a well-researched topic that is difficult to define by a number of

experts. Control violence takes many forms and is perceived differently by employers. According to Fong *et al.,* (2018), public perception of students is very important for school justice. Sensoy and Diangelo (2015) cite many expert definitions of school harassment/violence as harassment, harassment, harassment, petty harm, impairment of reputation, personal punishment, and emotional injury. Use constructs such as insults, incitement, and bullying. Furthermore, Sensoy and Diangelo (2015) included in their list the following: threatening, intimidating, and directly or indirectly threatening, misleading, harmful, frightening, and exclusionary messages; forcing people to quit their jobs or leave their jobs. Mackey (2017, p. 10) defines bullying school supervisors as manifested in the following behaviours: "destructive, mean, poor, condescending, intimidating, intimidating, destructive, intimidating, psychopathic, Machiavellian and insane". Hartley et al. (2016, p. 91) argued that "violent supervisorship behaviour can spread, from supervisors humiliating students by shouting, taunting and insulting, to intimidating students by suppressing information or threatening to lose their jobs and pay.

Teachers see bullying as another form of violence. Personal violence means that school supervisors bully individuals or groups and act unfairly. Shaming subordinates means disrespecting those officials, for example, when a teacher preaches to an ignorant teacher. Bullying refers to a principal who repeatedly insults or shows disrespect to his or her staff. "Public ridicule, use of silence, disruptive behaviour, stares, broken promises, invasion of privacy non-compliance, lies, the role of politicians credit, standards. Harris et al (2017) reinforce the following destructive behaviours: public criticism, ridicule, disrespect, broken promises, bullying and silence. Negative supervisors' behaviour affects people who are lower than them. Destructive supervisorship is clearly manifested in society. These factors reject basic principles of social justice. According to Tye *et al.,* (2017), sociological research is useful for public welfare. Therefore,

it provides appropriate mechanisms for adequate social control.

Smith (2017) stated that "Most indirect supervision studies use a systematic approach, classifying students who must act as supervisors of direct subordinates. Studies have shown that violence-prone supervisors react aggressively in the face of a low level of fearful behaviour (Lam et al., 2017). In those cases, when the employee subjected to violence is assisted by a disgruntled or disappointed employee, the night of violence is extended to the support of third parties based on the powers of the person in charge, subjected to violence. Most studies on third-party reactions to bullying have focused on targeted reactions to bullying through moral indignation and anger. Folger's theory of deontic justice explains this answer by stating that all people must and must behave ethically according to moral principles. As a result, witnesses who have experienced violence are motivated to behave in ways that mitigate these negative moral emotions, and many do so to harm or punish the perpetrators.

Tay-Williams and Crown (2017) argue that researchers have long focused on the organizational and social costs of school bullying and other forms of aggressive communication. Despite efforts to combat the epidemic, Tay-Williams, and Crown (2017, p. 218) argue that "bullying remains a problem in the modern school". They define bullying as actions that intentionally cause harm to students or subordinates, causing psychological or physical harm.

Concept of Abusive Supervision

How scientists think (i.e., theorize and conceptualize) observations of abuse is up to everyone else in the field, and Tepper et al., (2017) first argue that abuse is the most popular and important guideline (e.g. measurement and study design). Supervisor "In addition to physical contact, this indicates the extent to which the subordinate views the superior as a series of verbal and non-verbal actions" (p. 178). A definition is "an accurate description or explanation of the nature, generality, or meaning of something" (Oxford

English Dictionary), and distinguishing creative definitions from related ideas requires clear boundaries and implications. In this respect,

Tepper et al., (2017) original statement are very strong. It is clearly shown that the disturbing observation is not the behaviour of the supervisor, but the evaluation of this behaviour by the follower. People can evaluate the actions of the same ruler in different ways" (p. 178). (Tepper et al., 2017, p. 221). The directing role refers to the actions of the supervisor, not the ratings of the tag. The gap between supervisor behaviour and individual judgment is elusive, trite, and even threatening. However, when behavioural analysis is related to behaviour, behaviour and evaluation are distinct concepts with different attitudes and implications (see Banks, Fisher, Gotti and Stock, 2020). What we call "diagnosis" is sometimes called "understanding. Thus, the term "assessment" is more appropriate in violence monitoring studies, as it requires followers to carefully assess the behaviour of the supervisor, rather than simply indicating the supervisor's presence. Therefore, judgment may differ from action for reasons other than mere opinion.

Our review shows that there is significant and possibly growing literature based on Tepper et al., (2017) descriptive but convoluted assessment of competitive attitudes and supervisor behaviour. Newly developed models improve over time, and specific tasks reflecting evolution can reveal improved concepts. The fact that the extent to which abusive behaviour is viewed differently is now irrelevant, especially if supervisors understand the abusive behaviour and how it affects their followers are all important considerations. Beginnings and endings cloud our understanding of important events.

Implications of School Supervisorship

The destruction of supervisorship is not only a social problem but an organizational and educational one. Research into vision disorders has fascinated scientists. Sabastin et al., (2018) provide evidence that the abuse of control affects low-income people and organizations.

The next section discusses the impact of abusive directors on academic performance, employee morale and turnover rates. impact on the growth of the school. The word "supervisorship" is not used. Many factors affect an organization's effectiveness. This is the principal's conduct in this instance. The institution's goal is to deliver high-quality instruction. Performance and supervisorship have a definite causal connection. According to Saitis et al., (2018), there is a significant link between effective supervisorship and organizational performance. The view is that poor supervisorship is linked to subpar performance and has accepted the link between effective supervisorship and good organizational performance. Rauniyar et al., (2017) contend that excessive management is expensive and can significantly affect. A study by Blasse and Blasse (2004, p. 251) found that "bullying in the school can have many consequences for physical, mental/emotional health, productivity, and social interaction.

Qu et al., (2017) argue that there is a lot of literature on the negative effects of intense surveillance on students' mental health and work performance. Priesemuth et al., (2017, p. 329) added: "When discipline is suddenly broken, this behaviour can lead to a negative attitude towards the work of politicians, which affects the quality of the organization. Despite the impact of the organization itself, a violent school and violent school supervisors can affect integration (Qu et al., 2017) and personal creativity Brevart and de Vries (2017) argues that all of these conditions are unfair; reduce uncertainty, problems related to alcohol, and family dissatisfaction. Effects on the transfer of workers in many countries to the world Countries are faced with a shortage of teachers (EFA, 2015) and are increasingly overwhelmed by school supervisors involved. work if they do comparative research of their own research. Find and make them work in a supportive environment with appropriate management concepts.

The percentage Lavoie-Tremblay et al., Brandgen and Powlin (2017) argue that personal injuries are seen as a source of stress

and performance. Many studies have found a link between the use of teachers and dissatisfaction (Allen et al., 2018. 2007, page 137) saying "supervisors must always adjust their behaviour to people they lead." Regarding the impact of school principal behaviour on teachers, Mills et al., (2016, p. 119) state that "essential supervisorship qualities include caring, collaboration, collaboration, caring, vision and trust, among other concepts". Knies (2019) argues that providing school supervisorship motivates, and inspires headmasters and school supervisorship has a positive or negative effect on the perception of teachers Leithwood and Sun Methods (2012). agree that the supervisor's behaviour is the basis of the main influence merely on staff The teacher system is institutionalized or planned. On the other hand, affected teachers often drop out of school and find work in another school to avoid the bullying situation. As the teacher continued to misbehave, she became disillusioned, disillusioned with the whole process and decided to retire.

In fact, verbal abuse is not always even the first warning sign of physical abuse. In every situation of physical abuse dependency followed by isolation occurs. Then the verbal abuse happens and finally, the abuser feels free to attack the abused with impunity. Now many people are dependent on their significant other or parent for emotional and financial support. There is nothing wrong with this. In fact, it is rather normal. People seek this and when it is mutual, live happier lives. Unfortunately, abusers take advantage of this fact and twist it into their own sick perversion. The isolation is the biggest sign that the relationship is going to get violent. Abusers do this by ridiculing or disapproving of the victim's family and friends. They keep the abused at home as much as possible and sabotage any effort the abused use to better themselves. The abuser will even move them to a different location just to separate the abused from any support system they may still be clinging to. The only one that they are allowed to be close to is the abuser. They fear that if the abused ever become self-sufficient that they will leave them (Maxwell, 2018).

Our review shows that most of the literature is based on Leithwod et al., (2019) define that the combination of impairment assessment and management can lead to highly misleading information. In principle, deviations from the definitions given above are not a problem. Recently developed models often evolve over time, and specific tasks reflecting this evolution can further reveal information about the model. Therefore, the fact that the level of abusive supervision is now different is not a problem, especially when one understands the supervisor's aggressive behaviour and the evaluation of that behaviour by followers (McMahon et al., 2018). However, confusion over the behaviour of supervisors and the judgments of followers, who come from different backgrounds and achievements, hinders understanding of these important issues (Lam et al., 2019).

It is important to note that abusive supervision does not represent the same behaviour by all subordinates (Tepper, 2017). Although many studies show that abusive behaviour can lead to employee harassment and neglect, many studies show that some students do not respond in a violent manner (Tepper, Moss, Lockhart and Carr 2017). However, why some students respond negatively to bullying while others avoid it is largely unclear in the literature. More recently, the literature has turned to show how social influences influence responses to oppression and injustice. Information is known to influence organizational behaviour in subtle but powerful ways (Jones 2017) and is often considered the missing link to explain inconsistent results. In organizational research, specific factors such as work and fear of retaliation alter mismanagement perceptions and reduce employee preferential reactions (Knies et al., 2019). There is also preliminary evidence that non-occupational factors that influence work behaviour, such as culture, can influence perceptions of fairness and inequality regarding peer violence. Overall, this study shows that to understand how students respond to perceived harassment, it is important to consider the specific organization

to which they are responding (Leithwod et al., 2019).

Social class or level of material resources is a non-work environment that influences behaviour at work. Like cultural influences, social class forms the basis on which people draw structures for thinking and acting. People absorb tendencies and norms from the context of the social class in which they are raised, and in turn, bring these norms of thought and behaviour into the school to influence their interpersonal relationships. However, opposing cultural strata are often mistakenly ignored for being less prominent. As inequality between social classes increases, it becomes more important to understand the impact of class on organizational behaviour (Khan et al., 2020).

Due to the extensive literature on social interactions and behaviour towards perceived social threats, social class may be particularly important for understanding employee responses to managerial abuse. Intuitively, one would expect them to react louder and more negatively to lower-class harassment. Consistent with this common belief, evidence suggests that interpersonal relationships between lower classes are characterized by higher levels of hostility. However, contrary to this prediction, the theory also supports the idea that lower social classes tend to escape perceived bullying. This approach focuses on the normative differences between social classes in terms of their acceptance and respect for authority. In this article, we aim to explore how social class influences responses to abusive scrutiny, clarify theoretical objections and empirically explore the role of social class suppressors within this conceptual framework. Approval takes the first step to eliminating defects (Kalloway et al., 2021).

Finally, our study also has practical implications because it challenges the assumption that responses to abusive supervision may be common across the classroom. Understanding contextual implications help us as researchers better communicate our research applications to teachers and other relevant practitioners. In particular, the organizational literature mostly features active participants. Failure to understand how these differences affect the literature limits our ability to generalize studies on supervision abuse and may misrepresent physicians with a higher percentage of subordinate staff. Attempts to apply research to the school. Therefore, we hope that this research will take the first step to resolve this issue and bridging the gap between researchers and practitioners (Jones, 2017).

Methodology

The present study aimed to investigate the perspectives of students regarding Abusive supervision in their division of secondary education. The design of the research study was descriptive and quantitative in nature. A survey method was used for data from the sample of the study. The population of the study consisted of students of Government Boys' Secondary School in District Lahore. Thirty-one secondary schools were taken as a sample through the lottery method. 150 students from the secondary level were taken as a sample through a random sampling technique. The survey questionnaire was distributed among students after taking permission from the school head through a consent letter. 140 students give back filled questionnaires. The response rate was 95%. The researcher used a self-developed questionnaire for data collection. It was five points Likert scale which comprise 30 statements, the response options were ranging from strongly agree to strongly disagree, it was divided into two sections, part I covers demographic information and part II was about survey questions.

Data Collection & Analysis

The researcher personally visited all the schools and distributed questionnaires to all sample students. It took almost two weeks to retrieve data for the study. Data were analyzed through frequency, mean score and standard deviation of each statement was calculated. Conclusions were drawn on the basis of data analysis.

Table 1. Abusive supervisor-ship

Sr. No	Statement	M	SD	S.A	A	N	D.A	SDA
1	My supervisor Invades the privacy of others	2.43	.441	0	1	1	36	75
2	Remind others of past mistakes and failures	1.54	.379	4	11	12	32	56
3	My supervisor ridiculous to others	2.46	.722	1	2	7	40	66
4	My supervisor express anger to others	2.74	.910	11	46	6	31	21
5	Make comments about others negative	1.26	.736	1	3	5	45	59
6	Tell others they are competent	1.42	.873	3	3	10	53	45
7	Does not allowed to interact with others	1.30	1.211	4	7	12	43	49
8	Show arrogance	2.16	1.114	8	13	7	44	43
9	Support in creating individual development	2.92	1.043	6	9	17	41	42
10	Responsive supervisor	2.97	1.058	35	19	12	28	22

Table 1 showed that the highest mean score was "responsive supervisor" was strongly agreed by respondents with a 35% response rate, where the mean score was 2.97 and the standard deviation was 1.058. The second highest mean score was "My supervisor expresses anger to others" with a 46% response rate, where the mean score was 2.64 and the standard deviation was .870. The lowest table value of the mean score statement "my supervisor reminds others of past mistakes and failures" was strongly disagreed by respondents with a 49% response rate, where the mean score was 1.54 and the standard deviation was .379. The second least mean score statement was "M Tell others they are incompetent" with a 53% disagree response rate, where the mean score was 1.62 and the standard deviation 8.43.

Table 2. Abusive supervisor-ship

Sr. No	Statement	M	SD	S.A	A	N	D.A	SDA
11	My supervisor gives silent treatment to others	2.13	1.421	37	20	20	18	10
12	Mu supervisors give credit for a job requiring a lot of effort	1.56	.334	12	13	31	10	49
13	My supervisor tends to find others' fault	2.56	.742	36	37	14	17	11
14	My supervisor has forgiving nature	2.74	.870	48	22	13	19	12
15	My supervisor generally trusting	1.76	.786	35	27	29	18	5
16	Tell others they are competent	1.82	.843	39	30	17	15	14
17	My supervisor manages school projects with the responsibility	1.60	1.023	32	39	17	18	9
18	My supervisor shows more job involvement	2.06	1.124	27	35	20	23	10

Sr. No	Statement	M	SD	S.A	A	N	D.A	SDA
19	Mu's supervisor is a manipulator	2.12	1.043	21	36	25	26	7
20	My supervisor shows imperial behaviour e.g., making people wait for a long-scheduled appointment	2.47	1.058	7	11	32	16	49

Table 2 showed that the highest mean score was "My supervisor has forgiving nature" was strongly agreed by respondents with a 48% response rate, where the mean score was 2.74 and the standard deviation was .870. The second highest mean score was "My supervisor tends to find others' fault" with a 37% response rate, where the mean score was 2.56 and the standard deviation was .742.

The lowest table value of the mean score statement "Mu supervisors give credit for a job requiring a lot of effort" was strongly disagreed by respondents with a 49% response rate, where the mean score was 1.56 and the standard deviation was .334. The second least mean score statement was " My supervisor manages school projects with responsibility" with a 39% response rate, here the mean score was 1.60 and the standard deviation was 1.023.

Conclusion

Performance management in school has several goals. Today, rude supervisor-ship has become a major problem in the school. This can have serious consequences, such as decreased student performance and reduced psychological relationships with the organization.

As a result, abusive supervision has become a serious problem at the secondary school level which may be solved. The results of this study showed that violence does not have a significant effect on the mental performance of students, but denying information has a negative effect on both. Finally, denial of information fully mediated the correlation between perceived violence and cognitive processing and coping of students. Therefore, it can be concluded that visual violence is a factor that does not include cognitive involvement and cognitive ability. However, denied information has the power to destroy the brain's processing power and processing under conditions of brutal surveillance.

Supervisor emotional abuse is much more damaging than other types of abuse, in part because it goes under the radar for years and normally doesn't get repaired. It becomes the norm in a dynamic. It may not be evident how it jeopardizes the life of the abused, but it could be so damaging and debilitating that it could cause the abused to attempt their own life. It's also emotional abuse when the interactions distort, confuse, influence, or dominate your thoughts and behaviour, changing the perception of reality, and the sense of who you are, and harming your emotional stability. This type of abuse includes intimidation, coercion, manipulation, harassment, objectification, yelling, swearing, lying, obsessive jealousy, and many other actions that cause mental distress.

Recommendations

An abusive supervisor should emphasize the belief that abuse plays an important role in shaping the future perception of students. Therefore, supervisors and politicians should put more effort into developing organizational policies to hide the impact of knowledge and reduce school harassment to create a safe and healthy work environment.

Administration abuse is a major concern for organizations which need attention to better understand its causes and consequences, and when and how such abusive behaviour and its effects can be mitigated.

In addition to providing a comprehensive catalogue of the various correlations of abuse in supervision (backstories, outcomes, facilitators, facilitators) that serve as a useful guide to empirical research in this area, we should provide the conceptual, measurement, and research challenges that experimental

abuses of supervision face. Most importantly, we have provided practical recommendations to address this issue.

This research can also contribute to the study of one of the most obscure and important organizational research topics: threats from supervisors and followers.

There is a lot of research on child abuse. Therefore, future research studies need to consider how to empirically measure the relationship between perceptions of strict care and perceptions of fairness. A list of supervision abuse studies used to build relationships can help researchers explore how previous research has pioneered supervision abuse research in order to replicate and expand on previous research.

References

Agarwal, U. A., Avey, J., & Wu, K. (2021). How and when abusive supervision influences knowledge hiding behavior: evidence from India. *J. Knowl. Manag. 26*, 209–231. https://doi.org/1108/JKM-10-2020-2789

Allen, R., Burgess, S., & Mayo, J. (2017). The teacher labour market, teacher turnover and disadvantaged schools: new evidence for England. *Education Economics*, *26*(1), 4–23. https://doi.org/10.1080/09645292.2017.1366425

An, H., Razzaq, A., Nawaz, A., Noman, S. M., & Khan, S. A. R. (2021). Nexus between green logistic operations and triple bottom line: evidence from infrastructure-led Chinese outward foreign direct investment in Belt and Road host countries. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 28*(27), 51022–51045. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-12470-12473.

Avotra, A. A. R. N., Chenyun, Y., Yongmin, W., Lijuan, Z., & Nawaz, A. (2021). Conceptualizing the State of the Art of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) in Green Construction and Its Nexus to Sustainable Development. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, *9*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2021.774822

Bari, M. W., Abrar, M., Shaheen, S., Bashir, M., & Fanchen, M. (2019). Knowledge Hiding Behaviors and Team Creativity: The Contingent Role of Perceived Mastery Motivational Climate. *SAGE Open*, *9*(3), 215824401987629. https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244019876297

Blase, J. & Blase, J. (2007), "School principal mistreatment of teachers: teachers' perspectives on emotional abuse", *Journal of Emotional Abuse, 4*(3-4), 151-175.

Brendgen, M. and Poulin, F. (2019), "Continued bullying victimization from childhood to young adulthood: a longitudinal study of mediating and protective factors", *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology, 46*(1), 1-13. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10802-017-0314-5.

Chen, C., Qin, X., Johnson, R. E., Huang, M., Yang, M., & Liu, S. (2021). Entering an upward spiral: Investigating how and when supervisors' talking about abuse leads to subsequent abusive supervision. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, *42*(3), 407–428. https://doi.org/10.1002/job.2501

Coleman, S. S. (2019). *"Examining public school educators' perceptions of variables studied in correlation to teacher attrition issues within a select rural school district in the state of Mississippi: implications for teacher retention"*.

Eddins, G. M. (2021). *"The influence of principal gender, teachers' years of experience, and retention on teacher perceptions of principal supervisorship style, qualities, and job satisfaction"*, Doctoral dissertation, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO.

Feng, J., & Wang, C. (2019). Does abusive supervision always promote students to hide knowledge? from both reactance and COR perspectives. *Journal of Knowledge Management, 23*(7), 1455–1474. https://doi.org/10.1108/JKM-12-2018-2737

Fong, P. S. W., Men, C., Luo, J., & Jia, R. (2018). Knowledge hiding and team creativity: the contingent role of task interdependence. *Management Decision, 56*(2), 329–343. https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-11-2016-2778

Fook, C. Y., & Sidhu, G. K. (2019). "Supervisorship characteristics of an excellent principal in Malaysia", *International Education Studies, 2*(4), 106-116.

García, A. J., López, V. S., Vélez, T. G., Maldonado Rico, A., & Jacott Jiménez, L. (2016). Social justice: A qualitative and quantitative study of representations of social justice in children of primary education. *SHS Web of Conferences, 26,*

01054. https://doi.org/10.1051/shsconf/20162601054

Goaill, M. M., & Al-Hakimi, M., A. (2021). Does absorptive capacity moderate the relationship between entrepreneurial orientation and supply chain resilience? *Cogent Business & Management*, *8*(1), 1962487. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2021.1962487

Harris, K. J., Kacmar, K. M., & Zivnuska, S. (2007). An investigation of abusive supervision as a predictor of performance and the meaning of work as a moderator of the relationship. *The Leadership Quarterly*, *18*(3), 252–263. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2007.03.007

Hartley, J. & Hart, P. (2016). in Storey, J., Hartley, J., Jean-Louis, D., 't Hart, P. and Ulrich, D. (Eds), *Routledge Companion to Supervisorship,* Routledge, London, 404-418.

Jones, D., & Watson, S. B. (2017). The Relationship Between Administrative Leadership Behaviors and Teacher Retention in Christian Schools. *Journal of Research on Christian Education*, *26*(1), 44–55. https://doi.org/10.1080/10656219.2017.1282903

Khalid, M., Bashir, S., Khan, A. K., & Abbas, N. (2018). When and how abusive supervision leads to knowledge hiding behaviors: an Islamic work ethics perspective. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal,* *39*(6), 794–806. https://doi.org/10.1108/LODJ-05-2017-2140

Knies, E., Jacobsen, C. B., & Tummers, L. G. (2019). *"Supervisorship and organizational performance: state of the art and research agenda (2017)",* in Storey, J., Denis, J.L., Hartley, J. and 't Hart, P. (Eds), Routledge Companion to Supervisorship.

Khan, A. K., Quratulain, S., & Crawshaw, J. R. (2016). Double Jeopardy: Subordinates' Worldviews and Poor Performance as Predictors of Abusive Supervision. *Journal of Business and Psychology*, *32*(2), 165–178. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10869-016-9442-0

Labafi, S., Issac, A. C., & Sheidaee, S. (2021). Is hiding something you know as important as knowing it? Understanding knowledge hiding in IT-enabled services of Iran. *Knowledge Management Research & Practice*, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1080/14778238.2021.1992314

Lam, C. K., Walter, F., & Huang, X. (2017). Supervisors' emotional exhaustion and abusive supervision: The moderating roles of perceived subordinate performance and supervisor self-monitoring. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, *38*(8), 1151–1166. https://doi.org/10.1002/job.2193

Lavoie-Tremblay, M., Fernet, C., Lavigne, G. L., & Austin, S. (2016), "Transformational and abusive supervisorship practices: impacts on novice nurses, quality of care and intention to leave", *Journal of Advanced Nursing, 72*(3), 582-592.

Leithwood, K. & Sun, J. (2019), "The nature and effects of transformational school supervisorship: a metaanalytic review of unpublished research", *Educational Administration Quarterly, 48*(3), 387-423.

Ma, T.-L., Simpkins, S., & Puente, K. (2019). Latinx and white adolescents' reasons behind organized activity participation: The connections with cultural orientations, psychological engagement, and activity experiences. *Applied Developmental Science*, *25*(2), 168–182. https://doi.org/10.1080/10888691.2019.1571416

Mackey, J., Huang, L., & He, W. (2017). Abusive Supervision and Destructive Voice: An Ego Depletion and LMX Differentiation Perspective. *Academy of Management Proceedings*, *2017*(1), 10343. https://doi.org/10.5465/ambpp.2017.26

Maxwell, C. J. (2018). *The 21 Indispensable Qualities of a Supervisor: Becoming the Person Others Will Want To Follow,* Thomas Nelson, Atlanta, GA.

McMahon, S.D., Martinez, A., Reddy, L.A., Espelage, D.L. & Anderman, E. M. (2018). *"Predicting and reducing aggression and violence toward teachers: extent of the problem and why it matters"*, in Sturmey, P. (Ed.), The Wiley Handbook of Violence and Aggression, John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, 1335-1350.

Mills, C., & Ballantyne, J. (2016). Social Justice and Teacher Education. *Journal of Teacher Education*, *67*(4), 263–276. https://doi.org/10.1177/002248711666 0152

Nawaz, A., Su, X., Din, Q. M. U., Khalid, M. I., Bilal, M., & Shah, S. A. R. (2020). Identification of the H&S (Health and Safety Factors) Involved in Infrastructure Projects in Developing Countries-A Sequential Mixed Method Approach of OLMT-Project. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *17*(2), 635. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17020635

Priesemuth, M., & Schminke, M. (2017). "Helping Thy neighbor? Prosocial reactions to observed abusive supervision in the school", *Journal of Management*, *54*(3).

Qu, Y., Todorova, G., Dasborough, M., & Shi, Y. (2017). The Effects of Abusive Supervision on Team Task Conflict and Relationship Conflict. *Academy of Management Proceedings*, *2017*(1), 12653. https://doi.org/10.5465/ambpp.2017.12 653abstract

Rauniyar, K., Ding, D., & Rauniyar, N. (2017). Understanding the Role of Creative Self-Efficacy and Power Distance Orientation for Examining the Consequences of Abusive Supervision on Employee Creativity: A Case Study from Nepal. *Open Journal of Leadership*, *06*(02), 61–81. https://doi.org/10.4236/ojl.2017.62004

Saitis, C & Saiti, A. (2018). *Initiation of Educators into Educational Management Secrets*, Springer.

Sebastian, J., Moon, J.-M., & Cunningham, M. (2016). The relationship of school-based parental involvement with student achievement: a comparison of principal and parent survey reports from PISA 2012. *Educational Studies*, *43*(2), 123–146. https://doi.org/10.1080/03055698.2016 .1248900

Sensoy, Ö., & DiAngelo, R. (2015). *Is Everyone Really Equal? An Introduction to Key Concepts in Social Justice Education*, Teachers College Press, New York, NY. Stein, Z. (2016), Social Justice and Educational Measurement: John Rawls, The History of Testing, and The Future of Education, Routledge, New York, NY.

Smith, G. (2017). Bullying versus creativity: Mutually exclusive workplace behaviors. *Advances in Social Sciences Research Journal*, *4*(14). https://doi.org/10.14738/assrj.414.3522

Tepper, B. J., Simon, L., & Park, H. M. (2017). Abusive Supervision. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, *4*(1), 123–152. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-041015-062539

Tye-Williams, S., & Krone, K.J. (2017). "Identifying and re-imagining the paradox of school bullying advice", *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, *45*(2), 218-235.